



\$~46

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CONT.CAS(C) 583/2024**

SH PAWAN KUMAR

.....Petitioner

Through: None.

versus

SH GYANSH BHARTI & ORS.

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Rajiv Bajaj, Advocate for Ms.
Anita, the private respondent.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANISH DAYAL

ORDER

22.05.2025

%

1. No one appears for the petitioner as well as for MCD.
2. *Mr. Rajiv Bajaj*, counsel appears for the private owner *Ms. Anita* who had since moved **CM.(M). APPL. No. 140/2024**, impugning the order of 22nd December 2023 passed by the Principal District and Sessions Judge (Central), which in turn had dismissed the appeal against the order dated 08th November 2023, passed by the Appellate Tribunal MCD.
3. This Court by order dated 01st July 2024, has extended the *status quo* order till the next date of hearing and now listed for 01st September 2025 as informed by *Mr. Bajaj*. The relevant paragraph of order dated 01st July 2024 is as under:



7. Learned counsel for the respondent-MCD, who has joined the proceeding through *video-conferencing*, very fairly, admits that the demolition action is yet to take place, though, the team has been dispatched for the said purpose.

8. Let the *status quo* order be maintained till the next date of hearing. Learned counsel for MCD also undertakes to orally inform the concerned official about this order.

4. In this view of the matter, petition is disposed of with the right to petitioner to revive it subject to the orders passed in **CM.(M). APPL. No. 140/2024**.

5. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court.

ANISH DAYAL, J

MAY 22, 2025/RK/bp

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)