



\$~57

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(C) 4351/2025**

TECHQUENCH PRIVATE LIMITEDPetitioner
Through: Mr. Anish Sarna, Advocate.
versus

THE SUPERINTENDENT & ANR.Respondents
Through: Mr. Aakarsh Srivastava, Sr. Standing
Counsel.

CORAM:
JUSTICE PRATHIBA M. SINGH
JUSTICE RAJNEESH KUMAR GUPTA

ORDER
07.04.2025

%

1. This hearing has been done through hybrid mode.

CM APPL. 20117/2025 (for exemption)

2. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions. Application is disposed of.

W.P.(C) 4351/2025

3. The present petition has been filed by the Petitioner– Techquench Private Limited seeking issuance of appropriate directions to Respondent No. 1– The Superintendent, Range 108, Goods and Service Tax Div-IV Rohini, West Delhi (*hereinafter, ‘Respondent No. 1’*), to *inter alia* consider the application filed by the Petitioner for revocation of the order dated 19th December, 2024 (*hereinafter, ‘the Impugned Order’*) passed by Respondent No. 1, wherein the order for cancellation of the registration of Petitioner has been passed.

4. Mr. Anish Sarna, Id. counsel for the Petitioner submits that the application dated 23rd December, 2024, filed by the Petitioner under Rule 23



of the CGST Rules, 2017, for revocation of the Impugned Order has been rejected by Respondent No. 1. The order has also been uploaded on the online portal. In pursuance to the same, ld. Counsel submits that he would avail of the appellate remedy and therefore, seeks to withdraw the present petition.

5. Accordingly, the petition stands dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to avail of remedies in accordance with law. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

PRATHIBA M. SINGH, J

RAJNEESH KUMAR GUPTA, J

APRIL 7, 2025/v/ss