



\$~2

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 1092/2025**

RANJANA MANCHANDA AND ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Ajay Khanna, Mr. Harry Bir,
Mr. Harish Manchanda, Mrs. Ranjana Manchanda
and Ms. Shruti Manchanda, Advs.

Petitioners in person.

versus

STATE OF GNCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjay Lao, Standing Counsel for
State.

ASI Hemant Kumar, PS Jagat Puri

Counsel for R-2 (appearance not given) with R-2
in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY DIGPAUL

ORDER

10.09.2025

%

1. The instant petition under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter "BNSS") has been filed by the petitioners praying for quashing of FIR No. 136/2025 registered at Police Station – Jagat Puri on 27.02.2025, for offences punishable under Sections 420/406/120B/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereinafter "IPC").

2. The brief facts of the case are that respondent no. 2 had lend money to petitioner no. 1 as a loan in various installments and petitioner no. 1 promised to return the same within stipulated time but failed to do so. As a result, FIR No. 136/2025 was registered.



3. It is submitted that the petitioners and respondent no. 2 have settled their disputes amicably and are now moving forward towards a peaceful and harmonious future. It is further submitted that the respondent no. 2 has been duly compensated by the petitioners.
4. Memorandum of Understanding (hereinafter “MoU”) dated 29.03.2025 is on record and has been annexed as Annexure P-2. *Qua* this MoU, the respondent no. 2 has agreed to withdraw the case arising out of FIR No. 136/2025 registered at Police Station – Jagat Puri against the petitioners.
5. It is prayed by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties that the instant FIR may be quashed on the basis of the MoU.
6. Learned APP for the State, who appears on advance notice, accepts notice and submits that there is no opposition to the prayer made by the petitioners seeking quashing of the FIR in question in view of the settlement arrived at between the parties.
7. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.
8. The petitioners are present before this Court and have been identified by their counsel and Investigating Officer, Police Station – Jagat Puri. Respondent no. 2 is also present in the Court and has been identified by her counsel and the Investigating Officer.
9. On a query made by this Court, respondent no. 2 has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise on his own free will and without any pressure. It is also stated by him that the entire dispute has been amicably settled between the parties.



10. Keeping in view the fact that the matter stands amicably been settled between the petitioners and respondent no. 2 amicably without any pressure, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the matter pending.

11. In view of the fact that the parties have arrived at a settlement, no useful purpose will be served in continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. Further in view of the fact that the parties have arrived at settlement, the chances of ultimate conviction of the accused persons are also bleak.

12. It is, thus, in the interest of justice to quash the afore-mentioned FIR and the proceedings emanating therefrom.

13. Hence, in light of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court passed in ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab* (2012) 10 SCC 303**, FIR No.136/2025 registered at Police Station – Jagat Puri, for offences punishable under Sections 420/406/120B/34 of the IPC, and consequent proceedings emanating therefrom, are quashed.

14. The petition alongwith pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

AJAY DIGPAUL, J

SEPTEMBER 10, 2025/AS/yr