



\$~76

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **FAO 86/2025**

MANISH SHARMAAppellant

Through: Mr. Aditya Kala, Adv.

versus

LOVLEENA SHARMA AND ORSRespondents

Through: Ms. Rachita Garg and Mr.
Agam Rajput, Advs. for R-
6/Sub-Registrar

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DHARMESH SHARMA

ORDER

% **04.04.2025**

1. The hearing is being conducted through hybrid mode.

CM APPL. 20009/2025 – EXMP.

2. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

3. Application stands disposed of.

FAO 86/2025

4. The appellant, who is the plaintiff in the suit pending before the learned Trial Court, is assailing the impugned order dated 11.03.2025, whereby an *ex-parte* interim injunction has been declined to him.

5. Learned counsel for the respondent No.6/Sub-Registrar is present on advance notice.

6. Respondent no.6/Sub-Registrar is not even a necessary party to the present appeal.

7. None appeared on behalf of the remaining respondents, despite sending advance notice.

8. Respondent No.1 is the wife of the appellant, who seems to be the main contesting party.



9. Having heard the learned counsels for the parties, the present appeal is disposed of with the direction to the learned Trial Court to decide the application of the appellant/plaintiff under Order 39 Rules 1 and 2 of the CPC in accordance with law.

10. This order is passed without prejudice.

DHARMESH SHARMA, J.

APRIL 04, 2025/sp/sa