



\$~45

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **C.R.P. 98/2025 & CM Appls.19766-67/2025**
SCINDIA POTTERIES AND SERVICES PRIVATE LIMITED

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Siddharth Yadav, Sr. Adv. with
Ms. Divya Verma and Mr. Apoorv
Agarwal, Advs.

versus

SCINDIA INVESTMENTS PRIVATE LIMITEDRespondent

Through: Ms. Manmeet Kaur, Mr. Rohan and
Mr. Jai Dogra, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE TARA VITASTA GANJU

ORDER

%

04.04.2025

1. At the outset, learned Counsel for the Respondent raises an objection on the maintainability of the present Petition. She submits that the present Petition is not maintainable in view of the proviso to Section 115 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908.
2. Learned Senior Counsel for the Petitioner, on instructions, seeks permission of the Court to withdraw the present Petition with liberty to file an appropriate Petition in accordance with law. Liberty as sought for is so granted.
3. The Petition is accordingly dismissed as withdrawn. All pending Applications stand closed.
4. The parties will act based on the digitally signed copy of the order.

TARA VITASTA GANJU, J

APRIL 4, 2025/r

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)