



\$~14

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.L.P. 61/2021**

CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rajesh Kumar, SPP, CBI with
Mohd. Changez Khan and Ms.
Mishika, Advocates.

versus

GOVIND RAM & ANR.

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Puneet Gaba, Advocate for R-1.
Ms. Shelly Dixit and Mr. Tray
Sebastian, Advocates for R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

07.05.2025

%

CRL.L.P. 61/2021

1. A Leave to Appeal under Section 378(3) of the Cr.P.C has been filed on behalf of the Petitioner/CBI to challenge the Judgment of Acquittal dated 26.11.2019 by the learned Special Judge in case RCNo.DAI-2014-A-0012-DLI.
2. Learned Prosecutor on behalf of the CBI submits that all the Prosecution witnesses had fully corroborated the case of the State in regard to the giving and acceptance of bribe which was fully corroborated by the scientific evidence, despite which on minor contradictions, the benefit has been extended to the Respondent.
3. Considering the submissions made, the Leave to Appeal is hereby



allowed.

CRL.APP. _____/2025 (To be numbered by the Registry)

4. A Criminal Appeal under Section 378(2) of the Cr.P.C has been filed on behalf of the Appellant challenging the Judgment dated 26.11.2019 passed by the Special Judge, CBI, New Delhi.
5. List for arguments on Appeal on 14.10.2025.
6. Written submissions, if any, be filed by both the parties within eight weeks, with copy to the opposite counsel.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

MAY 7, 2025/va