



\$~34

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 2235/2025 & CRL.M.A. 10020/2025**

MAHAVIR & ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: Ms. Madhuri Aggarwal, Adv. with
the petitioners in person.

versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI) & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Meenakshi Dahiya, APP for State
with SI Bhagwan Yadav
Ms. Suman Kathuria, Adv. for Respondent no.2
with the respondent no. 2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY DIGPAUL

ORDER

%

02.09.2025

1. The instant petition under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter "BNSS") has been filed by the petitioners praying for quashing of FIR no. 255/2013 registered at Police Station Dabri on 12.05.2013, for offences punishable under Sections 307/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereinafter "IPC").

2. The brief facts of the case are that on 12.05.2013, respondent no. 2 was allegedly assaulted by the petitioners. It is stated that petitioner no. 2 first slapped respondent no. 2, and thereafter, the petitioners collectively assaulted him, causing serious injuries. The injury was simple in nature as per the MLC.



3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submitted that charges have been framed and the chargesheet has already been filed against the petitioners.
4. It is submitted that the petitioners and respondent no. 2 have settled their disputes amicably and are now moving forward towards a peaceful and harmonious future.
5. Memorandum of Understanding dated 07.03.2025 is on record and has been annexed as Annexure P-3. *Qua* this settlement, the respondent no. 2 has agreed to withdraw the case arising out of FIR no. 255/2013 registered at Police Station Dabri against the petitioners.
6. It is prayed by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties that the instant FIR may be quashed on the basis of the compromise.
7. Learned APP for the State, who appears on advance notice, accepts notice and submits that there is no opposition to the prayer made by the petitioners seeking quashing of the FIR in question in view of the settlement arrived at between the parties.
8. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.
9. The petitioners are present before this Court and have been identified by their counsel and Investigating Officer, Police Station Dabri. Respondent no. 2 is also present in the Court and has been identified by his counsel and the Investigating Officer.
10. On a query made by this Court, respondent no. 2 has categorically stated that he has entered into compromise on his own free will and without any pressure. It is also stated by him that the entire dispute has been amicably settled between the parties.



11. Keeping in view the fact that the matter stands amicably been settled between the petitioners and respondent no. 2 amicably without any pressure, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the matter pending.

12. Hence, in light of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court passed in ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab*** (2012) 10 SCC 303, FIR 255/2013 registered at Police Station Dabri on 12.05.2013, for offences punishable under Sections 307/34 of the IPC, and consequent proceedings emanating therefrom, are quashed.

13. The petition alongwith pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

AJAY DIGPAUL, J

SEPTEMBER 2, 2025

sk/yr