



\$~130

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 4177/2025 and CM APPL. 19367/2025**

ANAN BIJO & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Abhik Chimni, Mr. Pranjal Abrol,
Ms. Shreya Bajpai, Mr. Gurupal Singh and Mr.
Rishabh Gupta, Advocates.

versus

**DR B R AMBEDKAR UNIVERSITY
DELHI**

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Mohinder J.S. Rupal, Mr. Hardik
Rupal, Ms. Aishwarya Malhotra, Advocates with
Mr. Bipul Srivastava, (A.R. Legal).

**CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS MAHAJAN**

**ORDER
15.04.2025**

%

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner seeking the following reliefs:

“Issue a Writ of certiorari by quashing the order dated 05.03.2025 passed by the Respondent or any other writ, order or direction.”

2. The grievance articulated in the present petition is that respondent University *vide* impugned order dated 05.03.2025 has taken following penal action against the present petitioner:

- 1) Suspension from the University with immediate effect for a period one full year i.e. two semesters (Winter Semester 2025 and monsoon semester 2025).*
- 2) Debarred from entry into any of the campuses of the*



university for the said period of suspension.

3) Your conduct shall be observed closely and any violation of “the Code of Discipline for Students’ shall lead to rustication from the University and refusal for further higher studies in the University for next three years as per the clause ‘h’ and ‘c’ of Disciplinary measures of the Code of Discipline for Students.

3. Mr. Abhik Chimni, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that before passing such an order, the principles of natural justice, as contemplated in the Rules and Regulations of the respondent/University were not complied with.

4. Notice in the present petition was issued vide order dated 02.04.2025 to the respondent/University when Mr. Mohinder J.S. Rupal, learned counsel appearing for respondent/University had sought time to seek instructions.

5. Mr. Rupal, on instructions, submits that the respondent/University is withdrawing the impugned order dated 05.03.2025 with liberty to conduct *de-novo* enquiry in terms of the Rules and Regulations of the university against the petitioner. He submits that during the pendency of enquiry, petitioner can attend the classes. The statement is taken on record and the respondent/university shall remain bound by the same.

6. Mr. Rupal further submits that petitioner along with his supporters had created ruckus in the university campus after the impugned order was passed and submits that there is a grave apprehension that the petitioner will again protest or resort to demonstration in illegal manner.

7. In view of the above, no further orders are called for in the present petition. The petition stands disposed of.

8. However, petitioner is directed that he shall not take part in any protest or demonstration, directly or indirectly, with regard to the incident



being enquired into. Further, it is expected that the petitioner will maintain discipline in the campus.

9. Pending application also stands disposed of.

VIKAS MAHAJAN, J

APRIL 15, 2025/jg