



\$~31

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 1037/2022 & CRL.M.A. 8727/2022,**
CRL.M.A. 22994/2022, CRL.M.A. 8376/2025

ROSHAN LAL CHUGH & ANR.Petitioners
Through: Mr. Shekhar Dasi, Mr.
Mukul Girdhar, Mr. Md.
Talha, Mr. Ayush Dassi
and Mr. Deepesh Kasana,
Advts.

versus

THE STATE OF NCT OF DELHI
& ANR.Respondents
Through: Ms.Rupali Bandhopadhya,
ASC for the State with Mr.
Abhijeet Kumar, Adv.
with W/SI Chandrika, PS
Tilak Nagar.
Mr. Anish Kumar Maggo,
Adv. for the complainant
(through VC)
Complainant (through VC)

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN
ORDER
28.03.2025

%

1. The present petition is filed seeking quashing of FIR No. 809/2021 dated 01.11.2021, registered at Police Station Tilak Nagar for offences under Sections 420/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 ('IPC') including all consequential proceedings arising therefrom.
2. Chargesheet has not been filed in the present case.
3. The FIR was registered on a complaint given by

W.P.(CRL) 1037/2022

Page 1 of 3



Respondent No. 2. It is alleged that Respondent No. 2 was induced to marry his daughter to the son of Petitioner No. 1 on the basis of a profile created on a matrimonial website called jeevansathi.com. It is alleged that the profile contained various wrong details in relation to the educational qualifications of one Gaurav Chugh (son of Petitioner No. 1). It is alleged that based on the said representations, Respondent No. 2 was induced to marry his daughter.

4. The present petition is filed on the ground that the matter is amicably settled between the son of Petitioner No. 1 and the daughter of Respondent No. 2 by way of Memorandum of Understanding dated 20.03.2024 and the subsequent Addendum dated 24.04.2024, of their own free will, without any force, coercion, undue influence, fraud or pressure.

5. Respondent No. 2 / complainant has joined the proceedings through video conferencing and has been duly identified by the Investigating Officer. He states that he has no objection if the proceedings arising out of the present FIR are quashed.

6. The petition is also supported by a duly sworn affidavit of Respondent No. 2 thereby stating that the matter has amicably been settled between the parties, and that his daughter and the son of Petitioner No. 1 have also sought divorce by mutual consent in accordance with Section 13B of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955.

7. Offence under Section 420 of the IPC is compoundable in nature.

8. This Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose would be served by relegating the parties to the learned Trial Court for



filing an application to compound the offence.

9. Keeping in view the nature of the dispute and that the parties have amicably entered into a settlement, this Court feels that no useful purpose would be served by keeping the dispute alive and continuance of the proceedings would amount to abuse of the process of Court.

10. In view of the above, FIR No. 809/2021 and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are quashed.

11. The present petition is allowed in the aforesaid terms. Pending application(s) also stand disposed of.

AMIT MAHAJAN, J

MARCH 28, 2025

'KDK'