



\$~62

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.CAS(C) 543/2024**

HIMANSHU SHARMA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Acquib Ali & Ms. Amreen
Khaliq, Advs.

versus

SHRI SUSHIL SURI DIRECTOR & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Abhishek Chhabra, Ms. Shreya
Misra, Ms. Pragya Goyal, Advs. for
R-5.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT SHARMA

ORDER

28.11.2025

%

1. This hearing has been done through hybrid mode.
2. The present petition under Sections 11 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 read with Article 215 of the Constitution of India, 1950 seeks the following prayers:-

“a) Initiate contempt proceeding under Sections 11 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act 1971 read with article 215 of the Constitution of India, against the Respondents for wilful disobedience of the undertaking given by Respondent No. 1 & 2 on behalf of the Respondent No.5 Company on 27.03.2023 before Ld. MM (NI Act), Patiala House Courts, New Delhi in the case titled as ‘Mr. Himanshu Sharma & Ors. v/s Blue Coast Infrastructure Development Pvt. Ltd.’ bearing Ct Cases No. 43386/16 by not paying the installments from May 2023 in terms of the Settlement Agreement dated 04.11.2022 and punish the Respondents in accordance with the law;



b) Pass such other and further order or direction, as may be deemed fit and proper.

AND FOR THIS ACT OF KINDNESS THE PETITIONER
SHALL FOREVER PRAY.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks leave to withdraw the present petition in view of the fact that respondents have made the payment due under the settlement agreement.
4. Leave granted.
5. The present petition is dismissed as withdrawn and disposed of accordingly.
6. Pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

AMIT SHARMA, J

NOVEMBER 28, 2025/nk