



\$~71

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.CAS(C) 489/2025**

RAVI SHANKAR

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. P Sureshan, Adv.

versus

RAJWINDER SINGH BHATTI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Jaswinder Singh, SPC with Ms.
Tarveen Singh Nanda, Govt. Pleader
& Mr. G.S. Rathore, AC/CISF, Mr.
Prahlad Devenda, SI/CISF, Mr.
Yespal, Insp./CISF.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANISH DAYAL

ORDER
01.04.2025

%

1. This petition has been filed alleging wilful disobedience of order dated 13th August 2024 passed in ***W.P.(C) 12478 /2018***. The relevant aspects of the order are contained in paragraph 6 which is extracted as under:

6. We, therefore, allow the writ petition by setting aside the impugned order dated 15.11.2017 passed by the respondent no. 1 and remand the matter back to the respondent no. 1/Director General, CISF for reconsideration of the penalty imposed upon the petitioner. While examining the petitioner's case for modification of the penalty, we expect the respondent no. 1 to take into account the petitioner's plea that for a similar lapse, one lady constable named Kumari Anita had been awarded only a minor penalty of 'three days pay cut'. A fresh order of penalty will be passed by respondent no. 1 within three months.



2. Issue Notice.
3. Notice is accepted by counsel for respondent, who points to Annexure P-4, where order dated 19th December 2024 has indeed been passed by the Central Industrial Security Force (CISF), pursuant to the directions of this Court as noted above.
4. The matter has been examined and issue of penalty granted to lady *Constable Kumari Anita* has also been considered and assessed. A perusal of the said order bears out, that the conclusion arrived at is - *there is no cogent reason to interfere with the orders passed by the Disciplinary Committee.*
5. It is noted from order dated 13th August 2024, that the Court had stated that in case the petitioner is still aggrieved, it will be open for him to seek legal recourse as per law.
6. Contempt petition (CONT.CAS(C) 489/2025) is disposed of, with liberty to petitioner to seek relief in accordance with law, and in consonance of what has been directed by this Court.
7. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court.

ANISH DAYAL, J

APRIL 1, 2025/ak/tk