



\$~O-32 & 33

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ O.M.P.(MISC.)(COMM.) 284/2025
SARVESH SECURITY SERVICES PVT LTD
THROUGH ITS AUTHORIZED SIGNATORY
COL RETD S N P SINGHPetitioner
Through: Ms. Sneha Singh, Adv. (Thr. VC)
versus

MANAGING DIRECTOR DELHI STATE INDUSTRIAL
AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT
CORPORATION LTDRespondent
Through: Dr. Vikrant Narayan Vasudeva and
Mr. Rohit Lochav, Advs. (Thr. VC)

33

+ O.M.P.(MISC.)(COMM.) 286/2025
SARVESH SECURITY SERVICES PVT LTD THROUGH ITS
AUTHORIZED SIGNATORY COL RETD SNP SINGH.....Petitioner
Through: Ms. Sneha Singh, Adv. (Thr. VC)
versus

MANAGING DIRECTOR DELHI STATE INDUSTRIAL AND
INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION LTD N
BLOCK BOMBAY LIFE BUILDING CONNAUGHT CIRCUS
NEW DELHIRespondent
Through: Dr. Vikrant Narayan Vasudeva and
Mr. Rohit Lochav, Advs. (Thr. VC)

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER
28.03.2025

%

I.A. 8135/2025 (EXEMPTION) in O.M.P.(MISC.)(COMM.) 284/2025
and I.A. 8139/2025 (EXEMPTION) in O.M.P.(MISC.)(COMM.)
286/2025

1. Exemptions allowed, subject to all exceptions.



2. Applications stand disposed of.

O.M.P.(MISC.)(COMM.) 284/2025 and O.M.P.(MISC.)(COMM.) 286/2025

3. The instant petitions have been filed for the following reliefs:

“prayer in O.M.P.(MISC.)(COMM.) 284/2025

- a) *Allow the present application and extend the time of the Tribunal for at least one month for preparation and passing of the award.*
- b) *Pass such order or orders or such directions as this Hon’ble Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case and to meet the ends of justice.*

prayer in O.M.P.(MISC.)(COMM.) 286/2025

- a) *Allow the present application and extend the time of the Tribunal for at least one month for preparation and passing of the award.*
- b) *Pass such order or orders or such directions as this Hon’ble Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case and to meet the ends of justice”*

4. With the consent of the parties and on perusal of the averments made in the instant petitions, the Court finds that, in the interest of justice, the prayers made in the instant petitions deserve to be accepted.

5. Accordingly, both the petitions stand allowed in terms of the prayers made therein.

6. Ordered accordingly.

PURUSHAINdra KUMAR KAURAV, J

MARCH 28, 2025

aks/sph

Click here to check corrigendum, if any