



\$~47

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 3923/2025**

KANTA KUMARI

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Yoginder Handoo, Ms. Bani
Dikshit and Mr. Uddhav Khanna, Advocates.

versus

DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Mrinalini Sen and Ms. Rima Rao,
Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS MAHAJAN

ORDER

28.03.2025

%

CM APPL. 18199/2025

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application stands disposed of.

W.P.(C) 3923/2025

3. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner seeking following reliefs:

“a. Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of a writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ directing the Respondent to process the Application dated 04.12.2014 and to convert the Subject Property from leasehold to freehold; and

b. Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of a writ of Certiorari or any other appropriate writ calling for the records of the Respondent pertaining to Plot No. 29 Plot No. 29, Community Centre, East of Kailash, New Delhi- 110065 and quash and set aside the illegal, erroneous and arbitrary



determination of misuse made under Note 49.”

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that petitioner made an application as early as on 04.12.2014 to convert the subject property from leasehold to freehold. He further submits that certain issues were raised with regard to the basement and ground floor. However, the site inspection conducted by the respondent on 20.05.2015 clearly shows that no basement was found existing.
5. He further submits that at this stage, the petitioner will be satisfied if necessary directions are given to the respondent/DDA to treat the present writ petition as a representation and the same may be disposed of in a time bound manner.
6. Issue notice. Ms. Mrinalini Sen, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent/DDA accepts notice. She submits that she has no objection in case necessary directions are given to the respondent/DDA.
7. In view of the above, the respondent/DDA is directed to treat the present writ petition as a representation of the petitioner and the same may be disposed of by a speaking order in a time bound manner within a period of eight weeks from today.
8. The petitioner is also at liberty to appear before the concerned authority through her authorized representative/attorney, which opportunity shall be provided to her by the concerned authority before the disposal of her representation. A copy of the speaking order when passed by the concerned authority be communicated to the present petitioner as well as to her counsel within a period of one week.
9. Needless to say that, the petitioner shall be at liberty to approach this Court against the speaking order, if so advised.



10. All rights and contentions of the respondent/DDA shall remain open in the event any writ petition is filed to challenge the speaking order.
11. The petition stands disposed of in above terms.

VIKAS MAHAJAN, J

MARCH 28, 2025/jg