



\$~1 and 2

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ ITA 6/2001

+ ITA 9/2001

HYDEL CONSTRUCTION PVT. LTD.

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. Anurag Chawla and Mr. Ishan
Chawla, Advs.

versus

COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAX, DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Vipul Agrawal, SSC, Ms. Sakshi
Shairwal, JSC, Mr. Akshat Singh,
JSC, Mr. Gaoraang Ranjan, Ms.
Harshita Kotru, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V. KAMESWAR RAO

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR

ORDER

13.10.2025

%

1. Mr. Ishan Chawla appearing for Mr. Anurag Chawla, learned counsel for appellant states that as per the instructions given by Mr. Anurag Chawla, he is not getting instructions from appellant/company.
2. We also note, the Court notice issued to the appellant/company remain unserved as the report suggest "shifted years back".
3. If that be so, the appeals are dismissed for non-prosecution.

V. KAMESWAR RAO, J

VINOD KUMAR, J

OCTOBER 13, 2025

ss