



\$~11

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ W.P.(C) 4579/2024, CM APPL. 10599/2026 & 10600/2026
JAMOTRI DEVI & ANR.Petitioners
Through: Mr. Shivendra Singh, Ms.
Prakriti Rastogi and Ms. Aryama Singh
Rajput, Advocates.
versus
GOVERNMENT OF CHHATTISGARHRespondent
Through:

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C. HARI SHANKAR

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE OM PRAKASH SHUKLA

ORDER

% **21.04.2026**

REVIEW PET. 83/2026

1. The Registry reports that notice could not be served on the respondent as its premises were found locked.
2. Let fresh notice be served on Mr. Apoorv Shukla, who appeared in this matter as a Standing Counsel for the Government of Chhattisgarh when the writ petition was heard.
3. Reply, if any, may be filed within four weeks with advance copy to learned Counsel for the petitioners, who may file rejoinder thereto, if any, within four weeks thereof.
4. Needless to say, a copy of the additional affidavit filed by the review petitioner would also be served on Mr. Apoorv Shukla.
5. Re-notify on 13 July 2026.

C. HARI SHANKAR, J

OM PRAKASH SHUKLA, J

APRIL 21, 2026/pa