



\$~92

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(CRL) 993/2025**
PAPPU LAL@ PAPPU RAM BUNKAR AND ANR.Petitioners

Through: Mr. Apoorv Gupta, Advocate
alongwith petitioners in person

versus

THE STATE GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI AND ORS. ...Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjay Lao, Standing Counsel for
the State with Mr. Abhinav Kumar
Arya, Mr. Aryan Sachdeva,
Advocates and SI Manish, P.S.
Seemapuri
Mr. Amar Nath, Advocate for R-2
alongwith respondent no. 2 in person

CORAM:
HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER
22.04.2025

%

CRL.M.A. 9385/2025 (exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application stands disposed of.

W.P.(CRL) 993/2025

3. By way of the instant petition, the petitioners are seeking quashing of FIR bearing No. 440/2016, registered at Police Station, Seemapuri, Delhi for the offences punishable under Sections 498A/406/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereafter 'IPC').
4. Issue notice. Mr. Sanjay Lao, the learned Standing Counsel accepts



notice on behalf of the State.

5. All the petitioners are present before this Court and have been identified by their counsel and Investigating Officer (IO) concerned, from Police Station Seemapuri, Delhi.

6. Brief facts of the case are that the marriage between the son of the petitioners and respondent no. 2 was solemnized at Delhi on 10.02.2014, in accordance with Hindu rites and customs. No child was born out of the said wedlock. It is stated that due to temperamental differences arisen between the son of the petitioners and respondent no. 2, both the parties had started residing separately since 27.04.2014. Thereafter, a complaint was registered by respondent no. 2, which was culminated into the present FIR. It is stated that with the intervention of the respectable family members, relatives and friends, the parties had amicably settled their dispute *vide* Settlement Deed dated 21.03.2023.

7. It is stated that both the parties have amicably settled the present matter *vide* Settlement Deed dated 21.03.2023, entered between them and their statements to the said effect have been recorded by the learned Joint Registrar (Judicial) on 27.03.2025.

8. On a query made by this Court, respondent no. 2 who has been identified by the IO, categorically stated that she has entered into compromise out of her own free will and without any pressure, coercion or threat and that she has no objection, if the FIR is quashed.

9. In view of the above, that the parties have amicably resolved their differences of their own free will, and without any coercion, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. It would thus be in interest of justice



to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

10. Accordingly, FIR bearing No. 440/2016, registered at Police Station, Seemapuri, Delhi for the offences punishable under Sections 498A/406/34 of IPC and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

11. In view of above, the present petition stands disposed of.

12. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

APRIL 22, 2025/ns

Click here to check corrigendum, if any