



\$~55

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(C) 3882/2025 & CM APPL. 18060/2025**
JAI BHAGWAN NANDAL & ANR.Petitioners

Through: Mr. I. S. Dahiya and Mr. Ujjwal
Sharma, Advocates.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ANR.Respondents
Through: Ms. Anushkaa Arora, SPC with Mr.
Taranpreet Singh, Advocate for
R-1/UOI.
Mr. Nikhil Palli and Ms. Bhavya
Sharma, Advocates for R-2/MCD.
Ms. Mahamaya Chatterjee, GP with
Mr. Akash Dubey, Advocate for UOI.

CORAM:
HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TUSHAR RAO GEDELA

ORDER
27.03.2025

%

1. Heard the learned counsel for the parties.
2. On being pointed out that Municipal Corporation of Delhi has been notified under Section 14(2) of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 and as such the instant writ petition would not be maintainable in view of the law laid down by Apex Court in the case of. ***L. Chandra Kumar vs. Union of India and Ors.*** reported in ***1997 (3) SCC 261***, learned counsel for the petitioner states that he may be permitted to withdraw the writ petition with the liberty to institute the appropriate proceedings before the Central Administrative



Tribunal.

3. Accordingly, the petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty as prayed for.

DEVENDRA KUMAR UPADHYAYA, CJ

TUSHAR RAO GEDELA, J

MARCH 27, 2025

yrj