



\$~33

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(CRL) 892/2021**
RAJESH PRASAD

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rajesh Prasad, Mr. Roopenshu
Pratap Singh, Mr. Manish Sharma,
And Mr. Vikram Singh Kushwaha,
Advocates.

versus

STATE (NCT) OF DELHI & ORS.

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Amol Sinha, ASC for State with
Insp Kishan Vir Singh P.S.
Sec-1/EoW.
Mr. Anurag Jain, Advocate for ED.
Mr. Rajesh Gogna CGSC along with
Ms Priya Singh GP and Ms. Rebina
Rai Advocates.
Ms. Chandrani Prasad, Virender
Tarun and Chirag Mahalwal
advocates for SWO India Ltd.
Mr. Ravi Sharma Spp CBI, Mr.
Swapnil Choudhary Adv, Mr. Ishann
Bhardwaj Adv, Mr. Shivam Mishra
Adv, Ms. Madhulika Rai Sharma
Adv.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

% **13.05.2025**

1. Learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that vide Order dated
06.12.2022 SWO Organization had undertaken to make some payment to



the Petitioner and other aggrieved persons, though it has not been complied. The SWO may have tried to settle the disputes by making some payments, but that in itself is no ground to not consider the Petition on merits.

2. By way of present Writ Petition the relief sought is that the investigations be transferred to the CBI or Directorate of Enforcement and directions be given to Respondent No.4 to investigate violation by SOW under Section 447 Companies Act, 2013.

3. Learned ASC for State submits that the Chargesheet is almost ready and would be filed in the Court. Furthermore, whatever the grievance are required in regard to commission of the offence under the Companies Act, the Petitioner is at liberty to approach the ROC or to seek appropriate remedy before the learned Trial Court in accordance with law.

4. No further directions are merited on the Writ Petition, which is hereby dismissed.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

MAY 13, 2025/va