



\$~50

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 3831/2025 & CM Appls.17843/2025, 19753/2025**

M S KUWAIT AIRWAYS .....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Swati Sinha and Ms. Barnali Mukherjee, Advs.

versus

OM PAL SINGH .....Respondent

Through:

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE TARA VITASTA GANJU**

**ORDER**

% **04.04.2025**

**CM Appl.17842/2025[Exemption from filing typed copies]**

1. Allowed, subject to the Petitioner filing typed copies of the annexures within a period of three weeks.
2. The Application stands disposed of.

**CM Appl.17841/2025[Exemption from filing certified copy of the Impugned Order]**

3. The Registry is directed to place on record the digital copy of the Trial Court Record duly paginated and book-marked in accordance with the rules of the High Court.
4. The Application stands disposed of.

**W.P.(C) 3831/2025 & CM Appls.17843/2025[Stay]**

5. After some arguments, learned Counsel for the Petitioner submits that the challenge in the present Petition has been inadvertently confined only to challenge the order of the learned Appellate Authority under the Payment of Gratuity Act, 1972, when in fact, the order passed by the learned Controlling Authority under the Payment of Gratuity Act, 1972, which decided the



matter against the Petitioner should also have been challenged.

5.1 Learned Counsel for the Petitioner seeks and is granted liberty to withdraw the present Petition to file an appropriate Petition for challenging the order of the learned Controlling Authority as well. Liberty as sought for is so granted *albeit* in accordance with law.

6. The Petition is accordingly, dismissed as withdrawn. All pending Applications also stand closed.

**TARA VITASTA GANJU, J**

**APRIL 4, 2025/r**

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)