



\$~48

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 3828/2025 and CM APPL.17827/2025 (Stay)

NEHA AGGARWAL

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Arnesh Yadav and Ms. Neha
Yadav, Advocates.

versus

DISTRICT MAGISTRATE (WEST) & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Abhinav Singh and Mr. Ramneek
Mishra, Advocates for R-1.

Ms. Arundhati Katju, Sr. Advocate
along with Mr. Shyam D. Nanda, Mr.
Rohit Bohra, Ms. Shaaivi Shukla, Ms.
Nandana Menon and Ms. Aroshi,
Advocates for R-3.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SACHIN DATTA

ORDER

28.03.2025

%

1. The present petition assails an order dated 25.02.2025 passed by the District Magistrate (West), Government of NCT of Delhi in Eviction Case No. 1033/DCW/2024 filed by the respondent no.3 (Kusum Lata) along with Smt. Nirmala Rani under Rule (3)(1)(i) of the Delhi Maintenance and Welfare of the Parents and Senior Citizens Rules, 2016.
2. Concededly, the aforesaid order is appealable under Rule 22(3) of the Delhi Maintenance and Welfare of Parents and Senior Citizens Rules, 2009.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been constrained to file the present petition since the appeal filed by the petitioner is not being considered and dealt with by the concerned Appellate Authority *i.e.*, the concerned Divisional Commissioner (respondent no.2 herein).
4. Learned Standing Counsel for the GNCTD assures and undertakes, on



instructions, that the appeal preferred by the petitioner shall be decided by the Appellate Authority expeditiously and in any event within a period of six weeks from today.

5. It is further stated that the petitioner's request seeking interim relief shall also be decided within a period of two weeks from today.

6. Taking the aforesaid statement on record, the present petition is disposed of.

7. It is made clear that this order shall not be construed as an expression of opinion of this Court on the merits of the controversy between the parties.

SACHIN DATTA, J

MARCH 28, 2025/r