



\$~52

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.CAS(C) 460/2025**

ZEESHAN AHMED

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Bilal Anwar Khan, Ms. Anshu Kapoor, Advs.

versus

UROOJ BANO & ORS.

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Azra Rehman, Mr. Harinder Singh, Mr. Zakir Rehman, Mr. Saif Tanveer, Ms. Zahara Sheikh, Advs. for R-1.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANISH DAYAL

ORDER

17.04.2025

%

1. This petition has been filed alleging wilful disobedience of the order dated 10th February 2025 as modified by order dated 3rd March 2025.
2. It transpires that subsequently on 27th March 2025, an order was passed in **W.P.(CRL.) 394/2025** by the Division Bench of this Court stating that the interim arrangement as recorded in the said orders is accordingly revoked. Fresh directions were passed, which are extracted as under:

15. Furthermore, in order to balance the interests of the petitioner and respondent No.2 and keeping in view the overarching interests of the minor child, it is further directed that *if* the petitioner pays to respondent No.2 the sum of Rs.10,000/- per month towards living expenses of the minor son, on or before the 10th day of every month, as had been directed by the Predecessor Bench, he shall be entitled to visit his son and spend 02 hours or so every Sunday at respondent No.2's residence, at such time as may be mutually agreeable and convenient to the parties. It is made clear that respondent No.2 shall be entitled to remain present during such visits.



16. In view of what has been expressed by respondent No.2, the mediation proceedings that were underway before the Delhi High Court Mediation and Conciliation Centre stand closed, as of now; leaving it open to the parties to re-commence the mediation, if they so desire, at any later stage.

17. Most importantly, it is clarified that the aforesaid arrangement is, yet again, only for the interregnum; and the parties shall be at liberty to move an appropriate application seeking visitation and/or custody of their minor child before the competent court as may be permissible, in accordance with law.

3. In this view of the matter, this Contempt Petition cannot survive.
4. Liberty has already been given to the parties by the Division Bench to file appropriate application seeking visitation and/or custody of their minor child before the Competent Court, in accordance with law.
5. Petition stands disposed of.
6. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court.

ANISH DAYAL, J

APRIL 17, 2025/ak/na

Click here to check corrigendum, if any