



\$~58

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.M.C. 2048/2025**

SATYAVAN & ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: Ms. Roopal Sharma & Mr. Sagar,
Advocates.

versus

STATE (GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI) & ANR.Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjeev Sabharwal, APP for State
with SI Mohit, PS Bawana.
Mr. Abhimanyu, Mr. Abhishek, Ms.
Kumari Riya & Ms. Palak, Advocates
for respondent no.2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

ORDER

%

05.08.2025

CRL.M.A. 9202/2025 (Exemption)

Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

The application stands disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 2048/2025

1. The petition herein is a compromise quashing of an FIR No. 0598/2023 dated 13.07.2023 registered at Police Station Bawana, for the offences punishable under Sections 323/341/354/354(B)/509/34 IPC.
2. Parties to the FIR are known to each other and they jointly request quashing and are present in Court.
3. It is now stated that the allegations leveled in the FIR at the time of incident which took place arose out of the heated tempers as a result of the altercation which led to the unsavory incident of both the complainant and



the accused getting physically violent with each other.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in view of the compromise between the parties, respondent No.2-complainant is not inclined to press charges against the petitioners and proceed further in the matter. Learned counsel places reliance on a decision of Supreme Court in the case of ***Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr. [(2012) 10 SCC 303]***. He urges that the impugned FIR and all consequential proceedings may be quashed on the basis of compromise.

5. In the aforesaid backdrop, I have heard the rival contentions and also interacted with the complainant in-person. On a Court query put to her, she candidly submits that the accused are sons of his brother-in-law (her nephews), and in the larger interest of family and also otherwise having amicably settled their differences, she does not wish to press any charges against them. She would further point out that she regrets having leveled the allegations *qua* alleged offences attracting Section 354/ 354(B) of the IPC and at the relevant time when the incident took place she did not realize the adverse consequences of the same. She would submit that in reality it was complete misunderstanding on her part to have lodged the FIR.

6. On a Court query, *qua* the veracity of the compromise between the parties, the learned APP for the State also does not dispute it.

7. Having heard the counsel and interacted with the complainant and given that seems to be an entirely family matter not involving either in public interest or any societal interest, it would be an exercise in futility to continue with the further criminal proceedings. The same would be nothing but abuse of the process of law apart from being a burden on the judicial system as well as wasteful expenditure of the public by the prosecution.



8. The petitioners have also shown remorse for their conduct and they have apologized on the misunderstanding which led to the registration of the FIR.

9. Taking a wholesome view and in order to let the parties to enter mutual cordiality and the family bonhomie which will go long way to establish peace rather than promote hostility in case further proceedings continue, this is a fit case to exercise inherent power under 528 of BNSS in quashing the FIR in question.

10. Accordingly, the petition is allowed and the FIR No. 0598/2023 dated 13.07.2023 registered at Police Station Bawana, for the offences punishable under Sections 323/341/354/354(B)/509/34 IPC, along with all consequential proceedings arising there from are hereby quashed.

11. The petition stands disposed of.

ARUN MONGA, J

AUGUST 5, 2025/nk/dy