



\$~32

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 2046/2025 & CRL.M.A. 9200/2025**

NILENDRA KUMAR SHUKLA & ORS.Petitioners

Through: Mr. J.P. Singh, Mr. Prince
Dhamija, Mr. Shivansh
Bhateja and Mr. Shashank
Gautam, Advocates.

Petitioners No.1 and 2 in
person and Petitioner No.3
(through VC).

versus

THE STATE NCT OF DELHI & ANR.Respondents

Through: Ms. Kiran Bairwa, APP
for the State with SI Ajit
Singh, PS Cyber/Rohini.
Complainant in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN

ORDER

% **07.08.2025**

1. The present petition is filed seeking quashing of FIR No. 6/2024 dated 18.01.2024, registered at Police Station Cyber Police Station Rohini for offences punishable under Section 420 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 ('IPC'), including all consequential proceedings arising therefrom.

2. The brief facts are that the petitioners induced Respondent No. 2 to transfer an amount of ₹88,500/- in one transaction and ₹1,500 through UPI, towards the purchase of S-23 Ultra Samsung which costs around ₹1,17,000/- in the store. The petitioners claimed to be charging lesser than the store charges and promised to deliver the phone after the payment was made.

However, after the said payment was made, the petitioner

CRL.M.C. 2046/2025

Page 1 of 3



switched off their phones and disappeared. The payment was made in the PayTM ID of Petitioner No. 1.

3. The present petition has been filed on the ground that the parties have amicably settled all their disputes by way of a Settlement/Compromise Deed dated 26.06.2024, of their own free will, without any coercion, pressure or threat.

4. Respondent No.2/complainant is present in the Court and has been duly identified by the Investigating Officer. He states that he is satisfied with the settlement and does not wish to pursue the proceedings arising out of the present FIR.

5. The learned APP for the State submits that the offence in the present case is compoundable in nature and has no objection if the FIR is quashed. Respondent No. 2, on being asked, reaffirms the submission made by State.

6. Offences under Section 420 of the IPC is compoundable in nature.

7. This Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose would be served by relegating the parties to the learned Trial Court for filing an application to compound the offence.

8. Keeping in view the nature of dispute and that the parties have amicably entered into a settlement, this Court feels that no useful purpose would be served by keeping the dispute alive and continuance of the proceedings would amount to abuse of the process of Court.

9. However, keeping in mind the fact that the State machinery has been put to motion, ends of justice would be served if the petitioners are put to cost.

10. In view of the above, FIR No. 6/2024 and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are quashed, subject

CRL.M.C. 2046/2025

Page 2 of 3



to payment of cost of ₹10,000/- (to be equally borne by the petitioners) to be deposited with the Delhi Police Welfare Society within a period of six weeks from date.

11. Let the proof of deposit of cost be submitted to the concerned Investigating Officer/SHO.

12. The present petition is allowed in the aforesaid terms. Pending application also stands disposed of.

AMIT MAHAJAN, J

AUGUST 7, 2025

DV