



\$~22

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **FAO 113/2024 & CM APPL. 18178/2024**

ANIL KUMAR & ANR.

.....Appellants

Through: None.

versus

SMT. NEETA JAIN & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Ajay Kumar, Mr. RM Tiwari
and Mr. Vikas Bhardwaj, Advocates

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE CHANDRASEKHARAN SUDHA

ORDER

15.12.2025

%

1. There is no representation for the appellants today.
2. The respondent no.1 is represented.
3. Earlier, on 29.11.2025, a default notice was issued to the appellants when their counsel sought discharge on account of no instructions.
4. Despite service, there was no representation for the appellant on the last date, i.e. on 18.11.2025. However, no adverse order was passed. The case was adjourned to this date to enable the appellants either to appear in person or through their counsel



concerned to address arguments.

5. Today also, there is no representation for the appellants.

6. It appears that the appellants are not interested in prosecuting the matter. Hence, the appeal is dismissed for default.

CHANDRASEKHARAN SUDHA, J

DECEMBER 15, 2025