



\$~58

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(C) 4270/2024, CM APPL. 17493/2024 & CM APPL. 17494/2024**
SAMARPAN LOGISTICS PVT LTDPetitioner

Through: Mr. Santosh Kumar Pandey, Advocate
(through Vc).

versus

COAL INDIA AND ORS.Respondents

Through: Mr. Atmaram N. S. Nadkarni Sr. Adv.
with Dr. Harsh Pathak, Mrs. Shaveta Mahajan, Mr. Mohit Choubey, Mr. Salvador Santosh Rebello, Ms. Deepti Arya and Mr. Aditya Nema, Advocates for Coal India Limited.
Ms. Radhika Bishwajit Dubey, CGSC with Ms. Gurleen Kaur, Mr. Vivek Sharma, Ms. Aparajit Verma, Advocates for DGR with Lt. Col. Abhishek Juyal, DGR, JD (SE).
Mr. Rudra Paliwal, GP for UOI.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT SHARMA

ORDER
07.08.2025

%

1. This hearing has been done through hybrid mode.
2. The present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeks the following prayers:

“a) Issue a writ in the nature of Certiorari, or any other appropriate Writs/ Orders/ Directions, thereby quashing the Impugned letters dated 26.06.2020. and 30.06.2020, and any other unilateral action by Respondent No.1 and Letter dated 21.02.2024 issued by the Respondent No 2 towards attempting discontinuation of the Coal Loading and Transportation Scheme awarded to the Petitioner in terms of MoU dated 12.12.2013;



- b) Issue a Writ of mandamus directing the respondents to consider the grant I sanction of the extension of work to the Petitioner for second term of four years commencing from 12.04.2024 in accordance with Clause 8 of the governing MoU dated 12.12.2013;
- c) Issue any other writ/direction as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit in the facts of the case.
- d) Award costs of the petition.”

3. Learned counsel for Directorate General of Resettlement (‘DGR’), on instructions of Lt. Col. Abhishek Juyal submits that there is no objection with respect to grant of extension of tenure of the petitioner/company in terms of Memorandum of Understanding dated 12.12.2013. It is further submitted that on a representation made by the petitioner-company for enhancement of fleet as per clause 7 of MoU dated 12.12.2013, the same shall be decided by the competent authority in accordance with the rules.

4. In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioner does not wish to press the present petition.

5. Accordingly, the present petition is not pressed and disposed of accordingly.

6. Pending application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

7. On the representation being made by the petitioner-company, the same shall be disposed of by the competent authority within a period of 3 months from today.

AMIT SHARMA, J

AUGUST 7, 2025/bsr/dj