



\$~36

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 1980/2025**

AMIT KUMAR

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Prateek Tanwar, Mr. Yash Sharma and Ms. Khanak Tanwar, Advs. along with the petitioner in person

versus

THE STATE (NCT OF DELHI) & ANR.Respondents

Through: Ms. Meenakshi Dahiya, APP for State with Insp. Prateek Saxena, PS Naraina
Respondent no. 2 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY DIGPAUL

ORDER

% **15.09.2025**

1. The instant petition under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter "BNSS") has been filed by the petitioner praying for quashing of FIR No. 127/2019 registered at Police Station Naraina, for offences punishable under Sections 354D/509 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereinafter "IPC") and under Section 66C/67A of the Information Technology Act, 2000 (hereinafter "IT Act").
2. The brief facts concerning the present dispute are that the petitioner allegedly uploaded obscene/inappropriate photos and videos of her on Facebook and also stopped and teased her on her way to school. Police filed a chargesheet on 31.08.2021, followed by a supplementary chargesheet on 07.08.2023.



3. It is submitted that the petitioner and respondent no. 2 have settled their disputes amicably and are now moving forward towards a peaceful and harmonious future.
4. Memorandum of Understanding dated 09.02.2024 (hereinafter “MOU”) is on record and has been annexed as Annexure-4. *Qua* this MOU, the respondent no. 2 has agreed to withdraw the case arising out of FIR No. 127/2019 registered at Police Station Naraina against the petitioner.
5. It is prayed by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties that the instant FIR may be quashed on the basis of the MOU.
6. Learned APP for the State, who appears on advance notice, accepts notice and submits that there is no opposition to the prayer made by the petitioner seeking quashing of the FIR in question in view of the settlement arrived at between the parties.
7. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.
8. The petitioner is present before this Court and has been identified by his counsel and Investigating Officer, Police Station Naraina. Respondent no. 2 is also present in the Court and has been identified by the Investigating Officer.
9. On a query made by this Court, respondent no. 2 has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise on her own free will and without any pressure. It is also stated by her that the entire dispute has been amicably settled between the parties.
10. Keeping in view the fact that the matter stands amicably been settled between the petitioner and respondent no. 2 amicably without any pressure, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the matter pending.



11. Hence, in light of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court passed in ***Gian Singh v. State of Punjab*** (2012) 10 SCC 303, FIR no. 127/2019 registered at Police Station Naraina, for offences punishable under Sections 354D/509 of the IPC and under Section 66C/67A of the IT Act and the consequent proceedings emanating therefrom, are quashed *qua* the present petitioner.

12. The petition alongwith pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

AJAY DIGPAUL, J

SEPTEMBER 15, 2025
gs/yr