



\$~10

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 1969/2025**

MONARCH PUBLIC SHCOOL & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Ankit Chauhan, Adv. along with
the petitioners in person

versus

STATE OF NCT DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Satish Kumar, APP for State with
Mr. Ashish Mahani and Mr. Bhuman Bansal,
Adv. with SI Neha Tyagi, PS Harsh Vihar
Mr. Roshan Kumar, Adv. for R-2 along with
respondent no. 2 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY DIGPAUL

ORDER

%

14.11.2025

1. The instant petition under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter "BNSS") [earlier Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (hereinafter "CrPC")] has been filed by the petitioners praying for quashing of FIR no. 174/2025 registered at Police Station Harsh Vihar for the offences punishable under Section 125 (b) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter "BNS").
2. The brief facts of the case are that on 01.03.2025, the complainant/respondent no. 2's 6 year old daughter suffered amputation of two fingers of her left hand inside Monarch Public School, Harsh Vihar, due to the alleged negligence of the school's manager and teachers.



3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submitted that the petitioners and respondent no. 2 have settled their disputes amicably and are now moving forward towards a peaceful and harmonious future.
4. Settlement deed dated 06.03.2025 is on record and has been annexed as Annexure-4. Qua this deed, the respondent no. 2 has agreed to withdraw the case arising out of FIR no. 174/2025 registered at Police Station Harsh Vihar against the petitioners.
5. The respondent no. 2 appearing in person submitted that he has no objection if the present FIR may be quashed on the basis of aforesaid Mediation Settlement. The parties also undertook that they shall abide by the terms of the aforesaid Mediation Settlement.
6. It is prayed by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties that the instant FIR may be quashed on the basis of the compromise.
7. Learned APP for the State, who appears on advance notice, accepts notice and submits that there is no opposition to the prayer made by the petitioners seeking quashing of the FIR in question in view of the settlement arrived at between the parties.
8. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.
9. The petitioners are present before this Court and have been identified by their counsel and Investigating Officer, Police Station Harsh Vihar. Respondent no. 2 is also present in the Court and has been identified by his counsel and the Investigating Officer.
10. On a query made by this Court, respondent no. 2 has categorically stated that he has entered into compromise on his own free will and without any pressure. It is also stated by him that the entire dispute has been amicably settled between the parties and he has been duly compensated. It is



further submitted that the parties have agreed that the School shall bear all the educational expenses of all three children of respondent no. 2 up to 8th standard.

11. Keeping in view the fact that the matter stands amicably been settled between the petitioners and respondent no. 2 amicably without any pressure, and further considering that respondent no. 2 has been duly compensated and the School has undertaken to bear all educational expenses of respondent no. 2's children up to the 8th standard, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the matter pending.

12. Hence, in light of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court passed in *Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab* (2012) 10 SCC 303, FIR no. 174/2025 registered at Police Station Harsh Vihar for the offences punishable under Section 125 (b) of the BNS, and consequent proceedings emanating therefrom, are quashed *qua* the present petitioners.

13. The petition along with pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

AJAY DIGPAUL, J

NOVEMBER 14, 2025

gs/dd