



\$~30

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 1966/2025**

JASVINDER SINGH

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Aasish Kumar Singh and
Ms. Chitrakshi VEDI, Adv. with the petitioner in
person

versus

THE STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.Respondents

Through: Mr. Satish Kumar, APP for State with
Ms. Upasna Bakshi, Adv. along with SI Vikas
Kumar, and HC Vipin, PS Krishna Nagar
Mr. Ashish Laroia, Mr. Abhishek Yadav,
Mr. Himanshu Choudhary, Ms. Sheetal Bhati and
Ms. Muskan, Adv. for R-2 with R-2 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY DIGPAUL

ORDER

13.11.2025

%

1. The instant petition under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter "BNSS") [earlier Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (hereinafter "CrPC")] has been filed by the petitioner praying for quashing of FIR No. 775/2023 registered at Police Station Krishna Nagar for the offences punishable under Sections 506/509/354/354A/323/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereinafter "IPC").
2. The brief facts of the case are that on 12.12.2023, respondent no. 2 and her husband visited the shop of her real brother, who is the petitioner, in



connection with the distribution of their father's properties, where he allegedly abused, assaulted, and humiliated her in public, kicked her, and threatened both her and her husband with dire consequences, while also coercing her to give up her share in the family property. Pursuant thereto, the present FIR was registered.

3. It is submitted that the petitioner and respondent no. 2 have settled their disputes amicably and are now moving forward towards a peaceful and harmonious future.

4. Compromise deed dated 07.03.2025 is on record and has been annexed as "Annexure P-2". *Qua* this deed, the respondent no. 2 has agreed to withdraw the case arising out of FIR No. 775/2023 registered at Police Station Krishna Nagar against the petitioners.

5. It is prayed by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties that the instant FIR may be quashed on the basis of the compromise.

6. Learned APP for the State, who appears on advance notice, accepts notice and submits that there is no opposition to the prayer made by the petitioners seeking quashing of the FIR in question in view of the settlement arrived at between the parties.

7. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

8. The petitioner is present before this Court and have been identified by their counsel and Investigating Officer, Police Station Krishna Nagar. Respondent no. 2 is also present in the Court and has been identified by her counsel and the Investigating Officer.

9. On a query made by this Court, respondent no. 2 has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise on his own free will and without



any pressure. It is also stated by her that the entire dispute has been amicably settled between the parties.

10. Keeping in view the fact that the matter stands amicably been settled between the petitioner and respondent no. 2 amicably without any pressure, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the matter pending.

11. It is, thus, in the interest of justice to quash the afore-mentioned FIR and the proceedings emanating therefrom.

12. Hence, in light of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court passed in *Gian Singh v. State of Punjab (2012) 10 SCC 303*, FIR No. 775/2023 registered at Police Station Krishna Nagar for the offences punishable under Sections 506/509/354/354A323/34 of the IPC, and consequent proceedings emanating therefrom, are quashed *qua* the present petitioner.

13. The petition alongwith pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

AJAY DIGPAUL, J

NOVEMBER 13, 2025

Sk/yr