



\$~57

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 1964/2025

ARUN KUMAR & ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Dinesh, Adv. along with the
petitioners in person.

versus

THE STATE GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI
AND ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Satish Kumar, APP for State with
SI Sumeet Poonia, PS Vivek Vihar.

Ms. Parinita Rout, Adv. for R-2 with the
complainant in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY DIGPAUL

ORDER

31.07.2025

%

1. The instant petition under Section 528 BNSS (earlier Section 482 of CrPC) read with Section 528 of BNSS has been filed by the petitioner seeking quashing of FIR 31/2022, registered at PS Vivek Vihar on 10.01.2022, for offences punishable under Sections 323/341/506/34 of IPC.
2. The petitioners are present before this Court and have been identified by their counsel and the Investigating Officer, PS Vivek Vihar. Respondent no.2 is also present in the Court and has been identified by her counsel and the Investigating Officer.
3. The brief facts of the case are that on 10.01.2022, respondent no.2 was assaulted on the head with a brick by petitioner no.1 during a dispute.
4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submitted that the petitioners and respondent no. 2 have settled their disputes amicably and



are now moving forward towards a peaceful and harmonious future.

5. On a query made by this Court, respondent no. 2 has categorically stated that she has entered into this compromise on his own free will and without any pressure. It is also stated by her that the entire dispute has been amicably settled between the parties.

6. Compromise deed dated 30.01.2025 is on record and has been annexed as Annexure P3. On the basis of this deed, respondent no. 2 has agreed to withdraw the case arising out of FIR 31/2022 registered at PS Vivek Vihar against the petitioners.

7. It is prayed by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties that the instant FIR may be quashed on the basis of the compromise.

8. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

9. Keeping in view the fact that the matter stands settled between the petitioners and the respondent no. 2 amicably without any pressure, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the matter pending.

10. Hence, in light of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court passed in ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab***(2012) 10 SCC 303, FIR 31/2022 registered at Police Station Vivek Vihar, for offences punishable under Sections 323/341/506/34 of IPC, and consequent proceedings emanating therefrom, are quashed.

11. The petition, along with pending applications, if any, stands disposed of.

AJAY DIGPAUL, J

JULY 31, 2025

Sk/yr