



\$~37

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **C.R.P. 84/2025 & CM Appl.16527/2025**

NAVEEN KUMAR SAINI

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rohit Kumar Modi, Adv.

versus

SURESH KUMAR

.....Respondent

Through:

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE TARA VITASTA GANJU

ORDER

%

21.03.2025

CM Appl.16526/2025/Exemption from filing certified copies]

1. Allowed, subject to just exceptions.
2. The Application stands disposed of.

C.R.P. 84/2025 & CM Appl.16527/2025/Stay]

3. After some arguments, learned Counsel for the Petitioner restricts his prayers in the present Petition to extension of time in making the deposit of Rs. 6,00,000/-.
4. Learned Counsel for the Petitioner (Defendant before the learned Trial Court) contends that the learned Trial Court had directed that the amount be deposited within a period of one month from the date of the Impugned Order i.e., 04.02.2025. It is contended that the Petitioner requires time up to 15.05.2025 to make this deposit in view of the fact that the Petitioner is trying to arrange the funds.
5. In view of the foregoing, the Petition is disposed of, however time to



deposit the amount of the Rs. 6,00,000/-, on the basis of which the conditional leave to defend has been granted, is extended up to 15.05.2025.

5.1 The pending Application also stands disposed of.

6. The parties will act based on the digitally signed copy of the order.

TARA VITASTA GANJU, J

MARCH 21, 2025/r

Click here to check corrigendum, if any