



\$~67

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CONT.CAS(C) 311/2021, CM APPL. 47045/2024-By resp. seeking compliance of order dated 19.12.2023

INDU SINGH

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Amar Nath Saini, Ms. Neelam Pathak and Mr. Lakshay Aggarwal, Advs.

versus

AJIT SHARMA

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Anirudha Mishra and Ms. Sharda, Advs. alongwith respondent in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER
26.09.2025

%

1. An affidavit in compliance of the order dated 17.09.2025 has already been filed by the respondent, which is forming part of the record of this Court.
2. A perusal of the aforesaid affidavit reveals that the averment *qua* “except in any compelling/ exceptional circumstances like losing a job, any mishappening or unhappy incident took place etc.” has been wrongly inserted by the respondent in *paragraph 5* of the said affidavit as nothing of such nature was either mentioned or recorded while passing the order dated 17.09.2025.
3. Needless to say, *qua* the pendency of proceedings *inter se* the



parties arising out of FIR No.158/2018 as also any other proceedings, the respondent shall be free to take appropriate measures in view of order dated 17.09.2025.

4. In view of the clarification provided hereinabove, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that since there is nothing surviving in the present petition, he seeks to withdraw the present petition.

5. Accordingly, the present petition alongwith the pending application stands dismissed as withdrawn.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

SEPTEMBER 26, 2025/bh