



\$~38

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 901/2025**

VEDPAL @ VEDU

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Tanya Agarwal and
Mr. Gaurav Kalra,
Advocates (through VC).

versus

STATE G.N.C.T. OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Rahul Tyagi, ASC for
the State with Mr. Mathew
M. Philip, Mr. Sangeet
Sibou and Mr. Aniket
Kumar Singh, Advocates.
SI Priyanka Saini, PS
Najafgarh.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN

ORDER

% **20.03.2025**

CRL.M.A. 8441/2025 (Exemption)

1. Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The application stands disposed of.

W.P.(CRL) 901/2025

3. The present petition is filed challenging the order dated 15.10.2024 passed by the respondent authority rejecting the application seeking parole.
4. It is pointed out that pursuant to the dismissal of the application seeking grant of parole, the petitioner was granted the benefit of furlough.
5. The application for parole was rejected noting the overall conduct of the petitioner to be unsatisfactory and that the local police had not recommended for grant of parole. The petitioner



has been released on furlough pursuant to the rejection of the application for parole.

6. The conduct at this stage cannot be called as unsatisfactory so as to merit dismissal of the application for parole.

7. Let a fresh application be filed by the petitioner seeking parole.

8. The respondent authority is directed to consider such application without being influenced by the rejection order dated 15.10.2024.

9. The petition stands disposed with aforesaid directions.

AMIT MAHAJAN, J

MARCH 20, 2025

DV