



\$~56

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 173/2025**

DMI FINANCE PRIVATE LIMITEDPlaintiff

Through: **Mr. Amit Bajaj, Adv.**

versus

MR RISHABH AGGARWAL & ANR.Defendants

Through: **Mr. Amit Prasad, Mr. Arush Bhatia,
Mr. Ayodhya Prasad, Advs. for D-1
and D-3.**

**Mr. Abhishek Singh, Ms. Simran
Jain, Advs. for D-2.**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER

%

18.11.2025

1. The matter has been listed as per office note.
2. Inadvertently, in the order passed on 4th November, 2025, Registry was directed to issue certificate of refund of 100% of the Court Fees in favour of the plaintiff in terms of Section 16A of the Court Fees Act, 1860.
3. The reference to Section 16A of the Court Fees Act, 1860 should be read as Section 16 of the Court Fees Act, 1860.
4. The office note stands discharged.

AMIT BANSAL, J

NOVEMBER 18, 2025/neha