



\$~19 to 29

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 1883/2025, CRL.M.A. 8553-8554/2025

A P ENTERPRISES PVT LTD & ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Amit Satija, Mr. Jasvinder Singh,
Advocates.

versus

HIMANSHU PAPER PVT LTD

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Sanjay S. Chhabra, Mr. Satish
Choudhary and Mr. Udit Kr. Thakur,
Advocates.

+ CRL.M.C. 1884/2025, CRL.M.A. 8558-8559/2025

A P ENTERPRISES PVT LTD & ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Amit Satija, Mr. Jasvinder Singh,
Advocates.

versus

HIMANSHU PAPER PVT LTD

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Sanjay S. Chhabra, Mr. Satish
Choudhary and Mr. Udit Kr. Thakur,
Advocates.

+ CRL.M.C. 1885/2025, CRL.M.A. 8561-8562/2025

A P ENTERPRISES PVT LTD & ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Amit Satija, Mr. Jasvinder Singh,
Advocates.

versus

HIMANSHU PAPER PVT LTD

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Sanjay S. Chhabra, Mr. Satish
Choudhary and Mr. Udit Kr. Thakur,
Advocates.

+ CRL.M.C. 1886/2025, CRL.M.A. 8564-8565/2025

MANJU GARG

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Amit Satija, Mr. Jasvinder Singh,
Advocates.

versus

This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.

The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 17/05/2025 at 13:10:29



HIMANSHU PAPER PVT. LTD.Respondent
Through: Mr. Sanjay S. Chhabra, Mr. Satish
Choudhary and Mr. Udit Kr. Thakur,
Advocates.

+ CRL.M.C. 1887/2025, CRL.M.A. 8567-8568/2025
A P ENTERPRISES PVT LTD & ANR.Petitioners
Through: Mr. Amit Satija, Mr. Jasvinder Singh,
Advocates.

versus

HIMANSHU PAPER PVT LTDRespondent
Through: Mr. Sanjay S. Chhabra, Mr. Satish
Choudhary and Mr. Udit Kr. Thakur,
Advocates.

+ CRL.M.C. 1888/2025, CRL.M.A. 8569-8570/2025
MANJU GARGPetitioner
Through: Mr. Amit Satija, Mr. Jasvinder Singh,
Advocates.

versus

HIMANSHU PAPER PVT. LTD.Respondent
Through: Mr. Sanjay S. Chhabra, Mr. Satish
Choudhary and Mr. Udit Kr. Thakur,
Advocates.

+ CRL.M.C. 1889/2025, CRL.M.A. 8571-8572/2025
MANJU GARGPetitioner
Through: Mr. Amit Satija, Mr. Jasvinder Singh,
Advocates.

versus

HIMANSHU PAPER PVT. LTD.Respondent
Through: Mr. Sanjay S. Chhabra, Mr. Satish
Choudhary and Mr. Udit Kr. Thakur,
Advocates.

+ CRL.M.C. 1890/2025, CRL.M.A. 8573-8574/2025
A P ENTERPRISES PVT LTD & ANR.Petitioners
Through: Mr. Amit Satija, Mr. Jasvinder Singh,

This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.

The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 17/05/2025 at 13:10:29



Advocates.

versus

HIMANSHU PAPER PVT LTD

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Sanjay S. Chhabra, Mr. Satish Choudhary and Mr. Udit Kr. Thakur, Advocates.

+ CRL.M.C. 1891/2025, CRL.M.A. 8575-8576/2025

MANJU GARG

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Amit Satija, Mr. Jasvinder Singh, Advocates.

versus

HIMANSHU PAPER PVT. LTD.

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Sanjay S. Chhabra, Mr. Satish Choudhary and Mr. Udit Kr. Thakur, Advocates.

+ CRL.M.C. 1892/2025, CRL.M.A. 8577-8578/2025

A P ENTERPRISES PVT LTD & ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Amit Satija, Mr. Jasvinder Singh, Advocates.

versus

HIMANSHU PAPER PVT. LTD.

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Sanjay S. Chhabra, Mr. Satish Choudhary and Mr. Udit Kr. Thakur, Advocates.

+ CRL.M.C. 1893/2025, CRL.M.A. 8579-8580/2025

MANJU GARG

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Amit Satija, Mr. Jasvinder Singh, Advocates.

versus

HIMANSHU PAPER PVT. LTD.

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Sanjay S. Chhabra, Mr. Satish Choudhary and Mr. Udit Kr. Thakur, Advocates.

CORAM:

This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.

The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 17/05/2025 at 13:10:29



HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV NARULA

ORDER

15.05.2025

%

1. The present petitions seek quashing of complaints under Section 138 of Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881¹ filed against the Petitioners, along with all proceedings emanating therefrom, including the summoning orders.
2. On the first date that the matter was taken up, the Court had directed service of advance copies of the petitions on the Respondents, who have now appeared and are represented by counsels.
3. Counsel for the Respondents has drawn the attention of this Court to the order dated 28th March, 2022, passed by the Supreme Court in W.P. (C) No. 300/2020, dismissing a petition filed by the Petitioners, raising the same grounds and seeking similar prayers for quashing of the criminal complaints against them.
4. It is pertinent to note that the Petitioners have failed to disclose the existence or outcome of the said proceedings before the Supreme Court in any of the captioned petitions. In the considered view of this Court, such omission constitutes material suppression and amounts to an abuse of the process of law.
5. Upon being confronted with the above, counsel for the Petitioners tenders an unqualified apology to the Court and submits that the earlier proceedings and the order of the Supreme Court were not brought to his knowledge. It is stated that no instructions or information to this effect were ever shared with him by the Petitioners. Nonetheless, counsel seeks permission to withdraw the present petitions and reiterates his regret for the

This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.

The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 17/05/2025 at 13:10:29



inadvertent non-disclosure.

6. While this Court has no reason to disbelieve the statement of the counsel, and is inclined to accept his request to withdraw the petitions, the nature of the suppression, concerning binding judicial proceedings before the Apex Court, is too serious to permit a withdrawal without consequence. In the opinion of the Court, at the very least, imposition of costs is warranted to mark its disapproval of the Petitioners' conduct and to deter such attempts at misleading the Court.

7. Accordingly, the present petitions are dismissed as withdrawn, subject to payment of costs of ₹10,000/- per petition, to be deposited with the Delhi State Legal Services Authority within a period of four weeks from today. Proof of such deposit shall be filed in the Registry.

8. All pending applications stand disposed of.

SANJEEV NARULA, J

MAY 15, 2025

nk

¹ "NI Act"

This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.

The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 17/05/2025 at 13:10:29