



\$~74

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 3427/2025 and CM APPL.16119/2025 (Stay)
M/S. SREE RAYALSEEMA SUGAR AND ENERGY P LIMITED

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Sudhir Naagar, Ms. Rajshree
Singh and Mr. Piyush Aggarwal
Advocates.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Kameshwar Nath Mishra, Sr.
Panel Counsel along with Mr.
Shubhashish Roy and Ms. Vidya
Mishra and Mr. Rajan Verma (Asst.
Director (Cost), SDF Division
(DFPD) for R-1 and R-2.

Mr. Aninda Jyoti Chowdhary,
Manager Law along with Mr. Deepak
Mishra, GM for R-3.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SACHIN DATTA

ORDER

20.03.2025

%

1. After some hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner shall pay the entire amount of Rs.12,73,67,434/- as per the Administrative Approval granted under the One Time Settlement ('OTS') Scheme without prejudice to its rights and contentions that the actual amount payable is only to the tune of Rs.11,56,66,978/-. It is directed accordingly.
2. The said amount shall be paid on or before the last date as per the approval granted by the concerned Authority i.e. on or before 26.03.2025.
3. It is made clear that the payment of the said amount shall be without prejudice to the right of the petitioner to pursue its representations including



the representation dated 07.12.2024 made by the petitioner to the Member, Standing Committee for Sugar Development, wherein, the petitioner has highlighted the discrepancy in the calculation of the amount payable as one time settlement of the Sugar Development Fund (SDF) Loans.

4. The respondents are directed to decide the said representation/s as expeditiously as possible by way of a reasoned order, taking into account the terms of the stipulations in the OTS Scheme, and after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner. Let the same be done within a period of four weeks from today.

5. In the case the petitioner is aggrieved with the outcome thereof, it shall be at liberty to avail appropriate remedies in accordance with law, including for seeking refund of any excess amount allegedly paid by the petitioner to the respondents.

6. The present petition is disposed of in the above terms. The pending application also stands disposed of.

SACHIN DATTA, J

MARCH 20, 2025/r