



\$~60

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 3310/2025 & CM APPL. 15624/2025

JATIN SETHI

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Inderjeet Sisodia, Advocate.

versus

RESERVE BANK OF INDIA & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: None.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRATEEK JALAN

ORDER

18.03.2025

%

1. The petitioner's grievances in this writ petition are with regard to his termination from the services of the respondent No. 2 – Yes Bank Limited. Although Reserve Bank of India has been impleaded as respondent No. 1 in the petition, and a prayer has also been added seeking investigation into the actions of Yes Bank Limited and its officials, the primary relief is evidently against the private bank.

2. As far as service matters are concerned, in a case involving the very same bank, this Court has held that the Bank is neither a State nor an instrumentality of the State and the disputes are therefore not amenable to adjudication in the writ jurisdiction [Judgment dated 23.02.2024, in W.P.(C) 1413/2024: *Bhupendra Dwivedi vs. Yes Bank Ltd*].

3. In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioner, seeks permission to withdraw the writ petition with liberty to take such



alternative remedies as may be available to the petitioner.

4. The writ petition, alongwith pending application, is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as aforesaid.

PRATEEK JALAN, J

MARCH 18, 2025

“Bhupi”/kb/