



\$~43

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 877/2025**

SUNIL & ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: Petitioners in person.

versus

THE STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjeev Bhandari, ASC for State.

SI Kamal Sharma, PS Maidan Garhi.

Ms. Shobhana Aggarwal, Adv. for R-2 along with
R-2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY DIGPAUL

ORDER

28.08.2025

%

1. The instant petition under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter "BNSS") has been filed by the petitioners praying for quashing of FIR No. 548/2023 registered at Police Station Maidan Garhi on 04.11.2023, for offences punishable under Sections 420/120B/406 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereinafter "IPC").

2. The brief facts of the case are that the complainant/respondent no. 2, purchased the plot from petitioner no. 1, on 01.10.2016 for a sum of ₹4,34,000/-, pursuant to which customary title documents were executed and possession was delivered to him. On 08.01.2023, when the complainant visited the said plot, he allegedly found unauthorized construction by petitioner no. 2, who claimed to have purchased the same property from Sunil on 08.03.2021 for ₹6,00,000/-. It is alleged that the petitioners, in



conspiracy, forged documents, trespassed upon the property, and attempted to usurp ownership, on the basis of which the FIR was registered

3. It is submitted that the petitioners and respondent no. 2 have settled their disputes amicably and are now moving forward towards a peaceful and harmonious future. It is further submitted that the respondent no. 2 has been duly compensated by the petitioners.

4. Compromise deed dated 18.02.2025 is on record and has been annexed as Annexure-B. *Qua* this deed, the respondent no. 2 has agreed to withdraw the case arising out of FIR No. 548/2023 registered at Police Station Maidan Garhi against the petitioners.

5. It is prayed by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties that the instant FIR may be quashed on the basis of the compromise.

6. Learned APP for the State, who appears on advance notice, accepts notice and submits that there is no opposition to the prayer made by the petitioners seeking quashing of the FIR in question in view of the settlement arrived at between the parties.

7. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

8. The petitioners are present before this Court and have been identified by the Investigating Officer, Police Station Maidan Garhi. Respondent no. 2 is also present in the Court and has been identified by his counsel and the Investigating Officer.

9. On a query made by this Court, respondent no. 2 has categorically stated that he has entered into compromise on his own free will and without any pressure. It is also stated by him that the entire dispute has been amicably settled between the parties.



10. Keeping in view the fact that the matter stands amicably been settled between the petitioners and respondent no. 2 amicably without any pressure, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the matter pending.

11. In view of the fact that the parties have arrived at a settlement no useful purpose will be served in continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. Further in view of the fact that the parties have arrived at settlement, the chances of ultimate conviction of the accused persons are also bleak.

12. It is, thus, in the interest of justice to quash the afore-mentioned FIR and the proceedings emanating therefrom.

13. Hence, in light of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court passed in ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab* (2012) 10 SCC 303**, FIR No. 548/2023 registered at Police Station Maidan Garhi, for offences punishable under Sections 420/120B/406 of the IPC, and consequent proceedings emanating therefrom, are quashed.

14. The petition alongwith pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

AJAY DIGPAUL, J

AUGUST 28, 2025/AS/yr