



\$~72

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 3191/2025 & CM APPL. 20906/2025 (U/O 39 Rule 1
& 2 R/w 151 CPC for stay on the operation of the order dt.
25.03.2025)

MW TRADING COMPANY

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Mayank Wadhwa and Mr.
Shorya Goel, Advocates for
Petitioner

versus

L AND T FINANCE LTD

.....Respondent

Through:

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH VAIDYANATHAN

SHANKAR

ORDER

08.04.2025

%

1. The instant Writ Petition has been filed under Article 226 of the
Constitution of India seeking the reliefs:

*“a) Allow the present petition and issue a writ of Certiorari or any
other appropriate writ by directing the Ld. DRT to take due
cognizance of the IA/525/2025 and IA/530/2025 filed in
SA/427/2024 in accordance with law and dispose of the same a
time-bound manner;*

*b) Direct the Ld. DRT to grant a proper opportunity of hearing to
the Petitioner in the IA/525/2025 and IA/530/2025 filed in
SA/427/2024;*

*c) Set aside and quash the subsequent illegal demand notice dated
08/11/2024 and subsequent illegal possession notice 20/01/2025
along with the first possession notice dated 22/10/2024 issued by
the Respondent during the pendency of SA/427/2024;*

*d) Pass any such other and further orders as this Hon'ble Court
may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the
present case.”*



2. It is the case of the Petitioner that two interim applications, which have been filed along with the application under Section 17 of the Securitization and Reconstruction of Financial Assets & Enforcement of Security Interest Act, 2002. I.A. No. 525/2025 was for bringing on record subsequent events and I.A. No. 530/2025 was filed seeking interim relief.
3. Learned counsel appearing for the Petitioner states that *vide* the Order dated 04.03.2025, only one application i.e., I.A. 525/2025 was considered and no orders were passed on the other application i.e., I.A. 530/2025.
4. This Court is of the opinion that the instant Writ Petition is not maintainable since the learned Debts Recovery Tribunal [“DRT”] is yet to adjudicate the aforestated applications. It is always open for the Petitioner to approach the DRT again and press for I.A. 530/2025 and obtain appropriate orders.
5. The Writ Petition is disposed of with the above observations.
6. It is made clear that this Court has not passed any orders on the merits of the case.
7. The next date already fixed i.e., 14.04.2025 stand cancelled.

SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD, J

HARISH VAIDYANATHAN SHANKAR, J

APRIL 8, 2025/akc