



\$~97

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 3180/2025**

GURINA BAJAJ

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Sudhir Nandrajog, Senior Advocate with Mr. Raghuveer Kapur and Mr. Sagar Aggarwal, Advocates.

versus

**MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF DELHI
& ANR.**

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Ashutosh Gupta, ASC with Mr. Aman Monga, Advocate for MCD.

Mr. Pawanjit Singh Bindra, Senior Advocate with Ms. Madhu Sudan, Mr. Vikhyat Oberoi, Mr. Ankit Kakar, Mr. Shivam Prakash, Ms. Nishita Gupta and Mr. Ravi Sharma, Advocates for R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JYOTI SINGH

ORDER

%

12.03.2025

CM APPL. 14898/2025

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application stands disposed of.

W.P.(C) 3180/2025

3. This writ petition is preferred on behalf of the Petitioner seeking a direction to MCD to take immediate steps for demolition/removal of encroachments by Respondent No. 2 on public property/municipal land being GC-32 and GC-33, G-Block, Hari Nagar, Delhi, which is earmarked as public path as per the sanction plan.

W.P.(C) 3180/2025

Page 1 of 2



4. Issue notice.
5. Learned counsels, as above, accept notice on behalf of the respective Respondents.
6. Mr. Sudhir Nandrajog, learned Senior Counsel, on instructions, from the counsel on record, who is in turn instructed by the Petitioner, seeks leave to withdraw this writ petition to file a complaint before the Special Task Force ('STF') constituted by the Delhi Development Authority vide Notification dated 25.04.2018 under orders of the Supreme Court in ***M.C. Mehta v. Union of India and Others, (2019) 12 SCC 730*** and ***M.C. Mehta v. Union of India and Others (Sealing Issue, in Re), (2019) 12 SCC 419***.
7. This writ petition is disposed of as withdrawn, with liberty to the Petitioner to ventilate her grievances before the STF. In case the Petitioner makes a complaint before the STF, the same shall be considered in the next meeting of STF, looking at the nature of relief sought. In case of any surviving/further grievance, Petitioner may take recourse to appropriate legal remedies.
8. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case. This order is passed without prejudice to the rights and contentions of Respondent No.2 who is at liberty to take recourse to legal remedies in case of any grievance.

JYOTI SINGH, J

MARCH 12, 2025
S.Sharma