



\$~90 & 91

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 1746/2025**

MOHIT JUNEJA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Puneet Jaiswal, Advocate
versus

STATE GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.Respondents

Through: Mr. Nawal Kishore Jha, APP with SI
Mithilesh Kumar, PS Civil Lines
Mrs. Prem Lata Bansal, Sr. Advocate
with Mr. Paras Chaudhary,
Advocate

+ **CRL.M.C. 1774/2025**

MOHIT JUNEJA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Puneet Jaiswal, Advocate
versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Nawal Kishore Jha, APP with SI
Mithilesh Kumar, PS Civil Lines
Mrs. Prem Lata Bansal, Sr. Advocate
with Mr. Paras Chaudhary,
Advocate

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GIRISH KATHPALIA

ORDER

28.03.2025

%

[Physical Hearing/Hybrid Hearing (as per request)]

CRL.M.A. 7908/2025 (exemption) in CRL.M.C. 1746/2025

CRL.M.A. 7984/2025 (exemption) in CRL.M.C. 1774/2025

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

CRL.M.C. 1746/2025 & CRL.M.A. 7909/2025 (stay)

CRL.M.C. 1774/2025 & CRL.M.A. 7985/2025 (stay)



2. Learned counsel for petitioner submitted that these petitions are similar to CRL.M.C. 1777/2025, in which the interim stay was granted vide order dated 17.03.2025, but by inadvertent mistake, prayer clauses of these petitions are wrong. Therefore, learned counsel for petitioner seeks permission to withdraw these petitions with liberty to file fresh petitions. The petitions are dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as sought.

GIRISH KATHPALIA, J

MARCH 28, 2025/rk

Click here to check corrigendum, if any