



\$~2 & 3

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 1037/2025**

SACHIN BHARGAV

.....Petitioner

Through: *Appearance not given.*

versus

STATE GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Hemant Mehla, APP.

+ **BAIL APPLN. 1047/2025**

SANJU BHARGAV

.....Petitioner

Through: *Appearance not given.*

versus

STATE GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Hemant Mehla, APP.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV NARULA

ORDER

%

29.07.2025

1. The Applicants in the present applications seek pre-arrest bail in connection with FIR No. 325/2021 dated 21st August, 2021, registered under Sections 419, 420 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860¹ at P.S. Paharganj, Delhi.

2. The aforementioned FIR was registered on a complaint of one Mr. Jatinder Verma, who alleged that he was undergoing several mental stress due to



deteriorating health of his parents and familial discord, including his brother's strained marriage. During this period, he came not contact with a Facebook account under the name 'Maa Ji'. Thereafter, he was contacted through WhatsApp and allegedly induced into making various payments and deposits. He claims that he was duped into making these payments, some of which were transferred to the bank accounts of the present Applicants.

3. The Applicants submit that the persons named in the FIR are Deepak Bhargav and Radhe Shyam Baba, both of whom have since deceased. The Applicant in BAIL APPLN. 1047/2025, who is the father of Deepak Bhargav, states that his bank account was being operated by his son and that he had no involvement in the alleged activity. Further, the Applicant in BAIL APPLN. 1037/2025, who is the brother of Deepak Bhargav, submits that only an amount of INR 51/- was credited to his account. Both Applicants express their willingness to fully cooperate with the investigation and to provide complete details of their accounts to the Investigating Officer. They further state that they are ready to compensate the Complainant.

4. Considering the above, this Court, *vide* order dated 12th March, 2025, directed the Applicants to join the investigation and granted them interim protection from coercive action.

5. In compliance with the aforesaid directions, the Applicants state that they have appeared before the Investigating Officer on two occasions.

6. Subsequently, counsel for the Applicants, in order to demonstrate their bona fides, undertook before the Court that the Applicants would voluntarily deposit the alleged misappropriated amount of INR 14,24,250/-,

¹ "IPC"



without prejudice to their rights and contentions. The said undertaking has been complied with, and the amount has been deposited with the Trial Court in the form of three Fixed Deposit Receipts ('FDRs').

7. Moreover, Mr. Hemant Mehla, APP for the State, on instructions from the Investigating Officer, states that the Applicants' presence is no longer required for further investigation. Nonetheless, counsel for the Applicants submits that the Applicants shall continue to appear before the Investigating Officer and shall fully cooperate with the investigation.

8. In view of the above, the Applicants, in the event of arrest, are directed to be released on bail on furnishing a bail bond for a sum of INR 25,000/- each, with one surety of the like amount subject to the satisfaction of the concerned SHO, subject to the following conditions:

- a. The Applicants shall join and cooperate with the investigation as and when directed by the IO;
- b. The Applicants shall not leave the boundaries of the country without informing the IO/ SHO concerned;
- c. The Applicants shall not contact the witnesses or tamper with the evidence in any manner;
- d. The Applicants shall provide their mobile number to the concerned IO/SHO and shall keep their mobile phone switched on at all times;

9. In the event of there being any FIR / DD entry / complaint lodged against the Applicants, it would be open to the State to seek redressal by filing an application seeking cancellation of bail.

10. It is clarified that the observations made in this order are solely for the purpose of deciding the present bail applications. They shall not influence



the outcome of the trial or be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

11. The applications are allowed in the aforementioned terms.

SANJEEV NARULA, J

JULY 29, 2025

d.negi