



\$~15

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 883/2021, CRL.M.A. 4428/2021 (stay)

SH SUNIL KUMAR SHARMA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Sumit Kumar Khatri, Advocate.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Rupali Bandhopadhyaya, ASC with
Ms. Amisha Gupta Advocate for the
State.

Mr. Sachin Aggarwal, Advocate for
Respondent No.2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

11.11.2025

%

CRL.M.C. 883/2021

1. Petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, has been filed on behalf of the Petitioner, to challenge the Order dated 06.03.2021 *vide* which the learned ASJ, East, Karkadooma Court, Delhi, had cancelled the Bail granted to the Petitioner by the learned ACMM, East, Karkardooma Court, Delhi in FIR No. 681/2014 under Section 420 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Ashok Nagar.
2. Learned Prosecutor, on instructions from the Investigating Officer, submits that the Charge-Sheet has been filed while it has been noted that since the Petitioner has not co-operated in recovery or/handing-over of the alleged forged GPAs, the Supplementary Charge-Sheet may be filed on getting the original of the GPAs.
3. Considering that since the Bail was cancelled and the Charge-Sheet



has already been filed, the Petition is disposed of with liberty to the Petitioner, to approach the learned Trial Court for grant of Bail, in view of the changed circumstances. Pending Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

4. Seven days are given to the Petitioner, to approach the learned Trial Court. Till then, the Applicant/Petitioner would not be arrested.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

NOVEMBER 11, 2025/RS