



\$~17

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 1687/2025

SH PRABHJOT SINGH ALIAS RAJA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Tushar Lamba, Adv.

versus

THE STATE GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI AND
ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Raghuinder Verma, APP for the
State with Mr. Aditya Vikram Singh, Adv. with
IO/SI Jyoti, PS Hari Nagar
Mr. Parth Dixit, Adv. for R-2 (through VC)
R-2 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY DIGPAUL

ORDER

%

07.08.2025

1. The instant petition under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter "BNSS") has been filed by the petitioner praying for quashing of FIR No. 223/2024, registered at Police Station Hari Nagar on 08.05.2024, for offences punishable under Section 324 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereinafter "IPC").
2. The brief facts of the case are that on 08.05.2024, at around 05:45 PM, respondent no. 2 and the petitioner were sitting in a property shop bearing No. 38/1, Ashok Nagar and at that time, some altercation took place between them and the petitioner started abusing respondent no. 2 and



physically assaulted him and hit him with a sharp thing on his right hand due to which respondent no. 2 sustained injury.

3. It is submitted that the petitioner and respondent no. 2 have settled their disputes amicably and are now moving forward towards a peaceful and harmonious future. It is further submitted that the respondent no. 2 has been duly compensated for the injuries suffered by him along with his medical expenses by the petitioner.

4. Settlement Agreement dated 19.07.2024 is on record and has been annexed as Annexure A-2. Qua this deed, the respondent no. 2 has agreed to withdraw the case arising out of FIR No. 223/2024 registered at Police Station Hari Nagar against the petitioner.

5. It is prayed by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties that the instant FIR may be quashed on the basis of the compromise.

6. Learned APP for the State submitted that there is no opposition to the prayer made by the petitioner seeking quashing of the FIR in question in view of the settlement arrived at between the parties.

7. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

8. The petitioner is present before this Court and has been identified by his counsel and Investigating Officer, Police Station Hari Nagar. Respondent no. 2 is also present in the Court and has been identified by his counsel and the Investigating Officer.

9. On a query made by this Court, respondent no. 2 has categorically stated that he has entered into compromise on his own free will and without any pressure. It is also stated by him that the entire dispute has been amicably settled between the parties.



10. Keeping in view the fact that the matter stands amicably been settled between the petitioner and respondent no. 2 amicably without any pressure, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the matter pending.

11. In view of the fact that the parties have arrived at a settlement, no useful purpose will be served in continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. Further in view of the fact that the parties have arrived at settlement, the chances of ultimate conviction of the accused persons are also bleak.

12. It is, thus, in the interest of justice to quash the afore-mentioned FIR and the proceedings emanating therefrom.

13. The petition stands disposed of.

AJAY DIGPAUL, J

AUGUST 7, 2025/ar/dd