



\$~43

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(COMM) 191/2022**

JA ENTERTAINMENT PVT LTDPlaintiff

Through: Mr. Dhruv Anand, Ms. Nimrat Singh
and Ms. Bhavya Verma, Advs.

versus

**MS SITHARA ENTERTAINMENT &
ORS.**Defendants

Through: Mr. Rohan Thawani and Mr. Pratul
Pratap Singh, Advs. for D-1

**CORAM:
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MANMEET PRITAM SINGH ARORA**

ORDER

% **05.12.2025**

I.A. 30402/2025

1. This is an application filed by the counsel for the defendant no. 1 seeking to correct his submissions, as recorded in the order dated 07.11.2025.

2. The relevant paragraph 10 of the application reads as under:

“10. However, it is stated that the order dated 07.11.2025 in para 2 erroneously records that *“This order is being passed in continuation of the order dated 29.08.2025. Learned counsel for defendant no. 1 states that the order dated 29.08.2025 was communicated to defendant no. 1 and he has instructions to state that he has no objection if I.A. 21324/2025 is allowed.”* It is submitted that this recording in Para 2 is factually incorrect and contrary to the submissions actually made by the counsel before this Hon’ble Court. The correct submission made was that no instructions were received from the Defendant No.1 and thus this Hon’ble Court may pass any order it deems fit. The counsel Mr. Pratul Pratap Singh did not submit that the Defendant No.1 had sent instructions that he had



no objection to the Plaintiff's application for withdrawal being allowed."

3. In view of the averment made at paragraph 10, paragraph 2 of the order dated 07.11.2025 is corrected to read as under:

"2. This order is being passed in continuation of the order dated 29.08.2025. Learned counsel for defendant no. 1 states that the order dated 29.08.2025 was communicated to defendant no. 1; however, he has received no instructions from defendant no. 1. He states that the authorised representative of defendant no. 1 has also elected not to remain present today though he has notice of the directions of the Court to remain present."

4. The order dated 07.11.2025 shall be read along with the corrections to paragraph 2 made in this order.

5. This Court would however like to note that the averments made at paragraph 7 of the application are new and were not urged by counsel for defendant no. 1 on the said date of hearing i.e., 07.11.2025.

6. This application is disposed of.

MANMEET PRITAM SINGH ARORA, J

DECEMBER 5, 2025/msh