



\$~64

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 2951/2025**

HARSH JAIN

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Deepak Somani, Advocate.

versus

**MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF DELHI
& ANR.**

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Vikas Chopra, Standing Counsel
with Ms. Anita R. Mishra and Ms. Khushi,
Advocates for MCD.

**CORAM:
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JYOTI SINGH**

ORDER

% **07.03.2025**

CM APPL. No. 13997/2025

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application stands disposed of.

W.P.(C) 2951/2025 and CM APPL. No. 13996/2025

3. This writ petition is preferred on behalf of the Petitioner under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking the following relief:-

“a. Issue writ, order or direction in the nature of Mandamus directing Respondent No. 1/MCD to stop/remove unlawful construction performed by R-2/MGM Developers;”

4. Issue notice.
5. Mr. Chopra, learned Standing Counsel for MCD accepts notice and points out that the Petitioner has already made a complaint before the Special Task Force (STF) which is evident from Annexure A-3 to the writ



petition by Reference ID SNS2025021511 and thus the writ petition is premature.

6. Considering that the Petitioner has already taken recourse to STF constituted under the orders of the Supreme Court in ***M.C. Mehta v. Union of India and Others, (2019) 12 SCC 730*** and ***M.C. Mehta v. Union of India and Others (Sealing Issue, in Re), (2019) 12 SCC 419***, this writ petition is disposed of with a direction to STF to look into the complaint filed by the Petitioner and consider the same in the next meeting of the STF and thereafter take necessary action, in accordance with law.

7. Pending application also stands disposed of.

JYOTI SINGH, J

MARCH 07, 2025/shivam