



\$~60

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 2925/2025**

GYANODAYA COLLEGE OF EDUCATION & ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr.Amitesh Kumar, Ms.Priti Kumari
and Mr.Mrinal Kishor, Advocates

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr.Akhilesh K.Srivastava, Standing
Counsel with Ms.Archana Joshi and
Mr.Robin Kumar, Advocates

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DINESH KUMAR SHARMA

ORDER

10.03.2025

%

1. The instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been filed on behalf of petitioners seeking following prayer:

*“a) issue a writ of mandamus or any other suitable writ, order or direction to the Respondents to inspect new premises and process & decide petitioner’s application for shifting of their premises of institution for running B.Ed. and M.Ed. Courses in a time bound manner; and/or
b) pass any such other orders/directions as this Hon’ble Court deems fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case.”*

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners states that the application dated 06.01.2025 filed previously by the petitioners, which is pending before the respondents, has not been decided yet.



3. Issue notice. Learned counsel for the respondents accepts notice. He does not dispute the fact that the application of the petitioners is still pending for consideration.
4. Considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case, the respondents are directed to decide the pending application dated 06.01.2025 within a period of two months from the date of receipt of this order. It is further directed that the respondents shall follow due process including conducting of inspection of the new premises where the petitioners propose to shift the premises of the Institute.
5. It is open for the respondents to call for any information, if necessary, in deciding the request of the petitioners.
6. Needless to state, this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the claim of the petitioners, and therefore, in case the petitioners are aggrieved by any order passed by the respondents, it will be open for the petitioners to seek legal recourse as permissible in law.
7. No further relief is prayed for, at this stage.
8. In view of the above, the present writ petition is, accordingly, disposed of.

DINESH KUMAR SHARMA, J

MARCH 10, 2025/Dy/na..