



\$~20 to 24

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 748/2021 & CRL.M.A. 3732/2021

DEPUTY DIRECTOR DIRECTORATE OF ENFORCEMENT

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Ravi Prakash, Sr. Advocate with Mr.
Vivek Gurnani, Panel Counsel and Mr.
Ali Khan, Advocates.

Versus

ARJUN

.....Respondent

Through: None.

+ CRL.M.C. 2252/2021 & CRL.M.A.15077/2021

DEPUTY DIRECTOR, DIRECTORATE OF ENFORCEMENT

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Ravi Prakash, Sr. Advocate with Mr.
Vivek Gurnani, Panel Counsel and Mr.
Ali Khan, Advocates.

Versus

AMIT KUMAR BHOUT

.....Respondent

Through: None.

+ CRL.M.C. 2457/2021 & CRL.M.A. 16155/2021

DIRECTORATE OF ENFORCEMENT

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Ravi Prakash, Sr. Advocate with Mr.
Vivek Gurnani, Panel Counsel and Mr.
Ali Khan, Advocates.

Versus

PRADEEP ALIAS MACHENDER

.....Respondent

Through: None.



+ CRL.M.C. 2458/2021 & CRL.M.A.16157/2021

DIRECTORATE OF ENFORCEMENTPetitioner

Through: Mr. Ravi Prakash, Sr. Advocate with Mr.
Vivek Gurnani, Panel Counsel and Mr.
Ali Khan, Advocates.

Versus

AJAY NAGARWALRespondent

Through: None.

+ CRL.M.C. 2460/2021 & CRL.M.A.16160/2021

DIRECTORATE OF ENFORCEMENTPetitioner

Through: Mr. Ravi Prakash, Sr. Advocate with Mr.
Vivek Gurnani, Panel Counsel and Mr.
Ali Khan, Advocates.

Versus

JOGINDER ALIAS JOHNYRespondent

Through: None.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER
21.03.2025

%

1. Learned counsel for the Petitioner submits on instructions that he be permitted to withdraw the Petitions in view of the judgment of the Apex Court in Tarsem Lal vs. E.D., (2024) 7 SCC 61.

2. In view of the submissions made, the Petitions are permitted to be withdrawn.

3. Petitions stand disposed of.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

MARCH 21, 2025/rk