



\$~83

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 2881/2025, CM APPL. 13724/2025 & CM APPL.
13725/2025

MONIKA REDHU

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Sanket Khandelwal, Advocate.

versus

COUNCIL OF SCIENTIFIC INDUSTRIAL
RESEARCH & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Jasbir Bidhuri, Advocate for
R-1.

Mr. Harish Kumar Garg Advocate
for R-2.

Mr. Vivek Nagar, GP for UOI.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRATEEK JALAN

ORDER

%

06.03.2025

1. The grievances raised by the petitioner in this petition under Article 226 of the Constitution are admittedly amenable to the jurisdiction of the Central Administrative Tribunal ["CAT"] under the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985. The judgment of the Supreme Court in *L. Chandra Kumar v. Union of India and Others*, (1997) 3 SCC 261, interpreted *inter alia* in a Division Bench decision of this Court in *Parikshit Grewal & Ors. vs. Union of India & Anr.* [LPA 971/2024, decided on 27.09.2024], clearly holds that the writ Court ought not to adjudicate disputes at the



first instance, if they fall within the jurisdiction of CAT.

2. After some hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw this writ petition, with liberty to approach the CAT.

3. The petition, alongwith pending applications, is dismissed as withdrawn, with liberty as aforesaid.

PRATEEK JALAN, J

MARCH 6, 2025

SS/kb/