



\$~9

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

W.P.(C) 2817/2025

BECHTEL LIMITED

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr Deepak Chopra and Ms Priya
Tandon, Advocates.

versus

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER OF INCOME
TAX CIRCLE 1 1 2 NEW DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Debesh Panda, SSC Ms. Zehra
Khan, Mr. Vikramaditya Singh, JSCs
Ms Anauntta Shankar and Ms
Yashika Gupta, Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIBHU BAKHRU

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJAS KARIA

ORDER

27.03.2025

%

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition, *inter alia*, praying as under:-

“a. Direct Respondent No.1 to dispose of the application dated 22.10.2021 for processing the Return of Income of the Petitioner for Assessment Year 2013-14;

b. Direct Respondent No. 1 to issue consequential refund along with statutory interest under section 244A of the Income-tax Act, 1961, due to the Petitioner for Assessment Year 2013-14; and”

2. The learned counsel appearing for the Revenue fairly states that the petitioner has been pursuing the department for processing its income tax returns for the Assessment Year [AY] 2013-14, however, the same has not



been processed as yet.

3. On a pointed query from the court, whether the processing of return is now barred by time, Mr Chopra, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that time for processing of the return has been extended on several occasions.

4. In the given circumstances, we direct the concerned authority to process the petitioner's income tax return for AY 2013-14 as expeditiously as possible, in accordance with law. If the time period for processing the return has expired, the return must be accepted at its face value and the refund if due, is required to be processed. The concerned authority is directed to take steps accordingly.

5. The petition is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

VIBHU BAKHRU, J

TEJAS KARIA, J

MARCH 27, 2025

M

Click here to check corrigendum, if any