



\$~85

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 2808/2025 & CM APPL. 13350/2025**

RISHITA SACHDEVA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Nirmal Prasad, Mr. Samarth
Chowdhary, Mr. Apoorv Malhotra
and Mr. Velpula Aadityaa, Advs.

versus

STATE OF KARNATAKA & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Arun Mahajan, SPC with Mr.
Apoorv Upmanyu, Mr. Harsh
Vashisht, Advs. with Mr. Jitendra
Kumar Tripathi, GP for R-6 and R-
7/DOI

Ms. Rashmi, Adv. for R-5/IndusInd
Bank Limited with Mr. Ashish
Mahajan, Senior Vice President of
Bank

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS MAHAJAN

ORDER

09.04.2025

%

1. The present petition has been filed seeking following relief:

“A. Issue a writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ, order, or direction directing the Respondent No. 5 to forthwith remove the debit freeze issued by Respondent No.1 vide Acknowledgment No. 21605240024911 on the Petitioner's Bank Account No. 259873172551 maintained with Respondent No. 5; and



B. Issue a writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ, order, or direction directing the Respondent No. 5 to forthwith remove the debit freeze issued by Respondent No. 3 vide Acknowledgment No. 22909230055881 on the on the Petitioner's Bank Account No. 259873172551 maintained with Respondent No. 5; and

C. Issue a writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ, order, or direction directing the Respondent No. 5 to forthwith remove the debit freeze issued by Respondent No. 4 vide Acknowledgment No. 32705240027354/2024 on the Petitioner's Bank Account No. 259873172551 maintained with Respondent No. 5; and”

2. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the petitioner is maintaining bank account no. 259873172551 with respondent no.5/IndusInd Bank Limited.
3. He submits that the account of the petitioner was debit freezed by the respondent no.5 on the basis of instructions received from the respondent no.1 (State of Karnataka) and respondent no.3 (State of Tamil Nadu).
4. Mr. Ashish Mahajan, the Senior Vice President of the respondent no.5/IndusInd Bank Limited is present in Court. He submits that the two disputed transactions are – (i) for an amount of Rs.29,433/- in respect of which instructions were received from the State of Karnataka, and (ii) for a sum of Rs. 10,000/- for which instructions were received from the State of Tamil Nadu.
5. He submits that the respondent no.5/IndusInd Bank has no objection to defreeze the account if the lien is marked on the account of the petitioner to the extent of the aforesaid amounts.
6. In view of the above, the present petition is allowed and the



respondent no. 5/IndusInd Bank Limited is directed to defreeze the account of the petitioner marking lien to the extent of Rs.29,433/- and Rs.10,000/-, in respect of which instructions have been received by the respondent no.5/Bank from the State of Karnataka and State of Tamil Nadu, respectively.

7. With the aforesaid directions, the petition alongwith pending application stands disposed of.

VIKAS MAHAJAN, J

APRIL 9, 2025
N.S. ASWAL